arXiv:1405.6430v1 [math.AG] 25 May 2014

RATIONAL EQUIVALENCE FOR LINE CONFIGURATIONS ON CUBIC HYPERSURFACES IN $\mathbb{P}^5$

KALYAN BANERJEE AND VLADIMIR GULETSKIĬ

Abstract. Let k be an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let $\mathscr{X}$ be a nonsingular cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$ over k. We prove that, for a very general hyperplane section $\mathscr{Y}$ of the cubic $\mathscr{X}$, there exists a countable set Ξ of closed points on the Prymian of $\mathscr{Y}$, such that, if Σ and Σ' are two linear combinations of lines of the same degree on $\mathscr{Y}$, then Σ is rationally equivalent to Σ' on $\mathscr{X}$ if and only if the cycle class of $\Sigma - \Sigma'$, as a point on the Prymian, is an element of Ξ.

1. Introduction

It is well known that each dimension 1 algebraic cycle on a nonsingular cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$ is rationally equivalent to a linear combination of lines, see [13] and [16]. Yet it seems to be difficult to give a satisfactory answer to the question whether two combinations of lines are rationally equivalent one to another. The purpose of the present paper is to approach a question of this kind via the monodromy argument, essentially used in [20], [21] and more recently in [22][1].

Let us take Proposition 2.4 in [22] as our departing point. In a nutshell, its meaning is this. Take for instance a nonsingular projective surface $\mathscr{X}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, embed it into a projective space and look at a smooth hyperplane section $\mathscr{Y}$ of $\mathscr{X}$. For simplicity, assume that $\mathscr{X}$ is regular. Then, for a very general $\mathscr{Y}$, the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism from the Jacobian J of $\mathscr{Y}$ to the Chow-group of zero-cycles on $\mathscr{X}$ is either the whole Jacobian or a countable subset in J. And the same phenomena is true for zero-cycles supported on a dimension one linear section of a nonsingular projective variety of arbitrary dimension, loc.cit.

We would like to address the following three questions, in that regard. *First:* can one extend Voisin's method to algebraic cycles of codimension $p+1$ supported on a very general hyperplane section of a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $2p$? *Second:* where exactly do we need to use analytic arguments, and what can be done working over an arbitrary uncountable field of characteristic zero? *Third:* how to make the approach to be somewhat more general, in order to apply it to a broader range of examples and, possibly, to put it into arithmetic prospective too?

Date: 25 May 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C25, 14D05, 14F30, 14J30, 14J35.

Key words and phrases. Chow varieties, rational equivalence of algebraic cycles, universal regular map, weak representability, proper push-forward, étale cohomology, l-adic Abel-Jacobi map, algebraic fundamental group, Lefschetz pencils, étale monodromy, $K3$-surfaces, cubic threefolds and Prym varieties, cubic fourfolds hypersurfaces.

[1]see also other papers by C. Voisin

So, let $\mathscr{X}$ be a nonsingular projective variety of dimension $2p$ embedded into a projective space, and let $\mathscr{Y}$ be a general hyperplane section of $\mathscr{X}$. We give a positive answer to the first question provided $p = 1$ or 2 and the following two assumptions on the section $\mathscr{Y}$: (A) the algebraic part $A^p(\mathscr{Y})$ of the codimension p Chow group of $\mathscr{Y}$ is weakly representable; and (B) the quotient of the group of codimension p algebraic cycles on $\mathscr{Y}$ by $A^p(\mathscr{Y})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. One reason why we prefer to have p not exceeding 2 lies in the Merkurjev-Suslin result on the injectivity of Bloch's map λ_l^2, see [10], and we don't know at the moment whether the nowadays proven Bloch-Kato conjecture can help to avoid this restriction (this may well be a topic for further research). Besides, $p = 2$ is enough for the targeted applications to cubic fourfolds in $\mathbb{P}^5$.

Answering the second question we have avoided analytic arguments everywhere except for the construction of the abelian variety A_1 in Section 4, needed if $H^{2p+1}(\mathscr{X})$ is non-zero. Otherwise, all the arguments are purely algebraic-geometric. Yet we believe that there is a non-analytic method to define A_1 via the l-adic intermediate Jacobian approach, like in [7]. The systematic application of étale l-adic cohomology groups also allowed to localize the use of non-canonical isomorphisms between the geometric generic point of the base, in families under consideration, with Spec($\mathbb{C}$).

As to the third question, throughout the paper we keep trying to manage all the arguments as generally as possible, and then dropping down the level of generality slowly from abstract reflection on Chow sheaves in the first section of the paper to cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^5$ in the last one.

Here is a short synopsis of the manuscript. We start with a generalization of the Mumford-Roitman countability result to algebraic cycles of arbitrary codimension, working with Chow-varieties instead of symmetric powers. This is more or less the same as the reasoning in [14], but some care must be taken with regard to representability of Chow functors, which is well understood when the ground field is of characteristic zero. In Section 3 we prove that, if r is a proper morphism from one non-singular projective variety Y to another nonsingular projective variety X, such that codimension p algebraic cycles on Y subject to the above assumptions (A) and (B), then the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism r_*, induced on Chow groups, is a countable union of shifts of an abelian subvariety A_0 in the abelian variety A representing algebraically trivial codimension p algebraic cycles on Y (Proposition 6). Within the same chapter we also prove that the image of the composition of the homomorphism on first l-adic étale cohomology induced by the embedding of A_0 into A and the homomorphism from $H^1(A)$ to $H^{2p-1}(Y)$, sits in the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism r_* from $H^{2p-1}(Y)$ to $H^{2(p+e)-1}(X)$ (Proposition 7). Chapter 5 is more or less folklore and is included for the convenience of the reader. We explain the connection between the geometric generic fibre of a family and its very general geometric fibre, in the context of the abelian variety A_0. In Chapter 6 we first study the case when Y is the geometric generic fibre of a Lefschetz pencil of a nonsingular variety $\mathscr{X}$ in $\mathbb{P}^m$, and X is the product of $\mathscr{X}$ and the geometric generic point of the base. Being inspired by Voisin's analytic monodromy argument over $\mathbb{C}$, we apply the absolute irreducibility of the étale monodromy action and prove that either A_0 is zero or A_1 (if $H^{2p+1}(\mathscr{X})$ vanishes then $A_1 = A$). Finally, we use non-canonical scheme-theoretic isomorphisms between the geometric generic fibre of

the family of hyperplane sections of $\mathscr{X}$ and the very general geometric fibre of this family, to complete the picture. Working over an uncountable algebraically closed field and assuming that the geometric generic fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$ of the family of hyperplane sections satisfies the above two assumptions (A) and (B), and that $H^{2p+1}(\mathscr{X}) = 0$, we obtain (see Theorem 16 in the text) that, for a very general hyperplane section $\mathscr{Y}$, the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism from $A^p(\mathscr{Y})$ to $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{X})$ is either a countable set, or the whole group $A^p(\mathscr{Y})$. The assumption $H^{2p+1}(\mathscr{X}) = 0$ is not essential and can be eliminated at low cost. The following straightforward implication (Theorem 19) says that if, moreover, the group $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}})$ is and the group $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{X})$ is not weakly representable, then, for a very general $\mathscr{Y}$, the kernel of the homomorphism from $A^p(\mathscr{Y})$ to $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{X})$ is countable. This is exactly what happens when $\mathscr{X}$ is a nonsingular cubic in $\mathbb{P}^5$, and in the last section we prove the following assertion (Example 21).

> *Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a nonsingular cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$, defined over an uncountable algebraically closed field of zero characteristic. Then, for a very general hyperplane section $\mathscr{Y}$ of $\mathscr{X}$, there exists a countable set Ξ of closed points on the Prymian of $\mathscr{Y}$, such that, if Σ and Σ' are two linear combinations of lines of the same degree on $\mathscr{Y}$, then Σ is rationally equivalent to Σ' on $\mathscr{X}$ if and only if the difference $\Sigma - \Sigma'$, as a point of the Prymian, is an element of Ξ.*

This result may have some relevance to the classical problem of non-rationality of a general cubic fourfold in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Indeed, if $\mathscr{X}'$ is birational to $\mathscr{X}$, the difference between $A^3(\mathscr{X}')$ and $A^3(\mathscr{X})$ consists of Jacobians of curves and non-representable $A^2(-)$ of surfaces over k. In all known cases when the cubic $\mathscr{X}$ is rational, the Fano variety of lines is biregular to the Hilbert square of the associated $K3$-surface S, see [2] and [8], and in that case $A^3(\mathscr{X})$ is the same as $A^2(S)$, see [17]. Since $A^3(\mathscr{X})$ is generated, up to torsion, by line configurations[2] supported on hyperplane sections, one could speculatively say that understanding the sets Ξ might be essential to recognize the conjectural non-rationality.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Sergey Gorchinskiy, Mingmin Shen, Alexander Tikhomirov, Claire Voisin and Yuri Zarhin for useful discussions relevant to the theme of this paper. The second named author has been partially supported by EPSRC grant EP/I034017/1. The first named author acknowledges EPSRC GTA in Liverpool.

2. Chow schemes and the countability result

Let k be a field of zero characteristic, and let $\mathfrak{N}$ be the category of Noetherian schemes over k. Consider the presheaf of abelian monoids $\mathscr{C}_r(X)$ on $\mathfrak{N}$, where for any scheme S in $\mathfrak{N}$ the monoid $\mathscr{C}_r(X)(S)$ is freely generated by relative cycles on $X \times S$ of relative dimension r over S, see [18]. The monoid $\mathscr{C}_r(X)(S)$ can be also denoted as $\mathscr{C}_r(X \times S/S)$. We will also write $\mathscr{C}^p(X)(S)$ or $\mathscr{C}^p(X \times S/S)$ for the same monoids of relative cycles of relative codimension p, where $p = \dim(X) - r$. If X is projective over k, we fix a closed embedding of X into $\mathbb{P}^m$ over k and consider the subsheaf $\mathscr{C}^p_d(X)$ of relative cycles of degree d in $\mathscr{C}^p(X)$, whose

[2] in the paper, the term "line configuration" means an integral linear combination of lines on a variety embedded into a projective space

sections $\mathscr{C}^p_d(X \times S/S)$ are generated by relative cycles of relative codimension p and degree d, where the degree is understood with regard to the closed embedding above.

Corollary 4.4.13 in [18] says that the sheafification of the presheaf $\mathscr{C}^p_d(X)$ in h-topology on the category $\mathfrak{N}$ is representable by a Chow scheme $C^p_d(X)$ projective over k. Let $C^p(X)$ be the coproduct $\coprod_{d\geq 0} C^p_d(X)$ in the category $\mathfrak{N}$. Since k is of characteristic zero, the above h-representability can be replaced by the usual representability, see [9] or [18], so that for each S one has the bijection

$$\theta_X(S) : \mathscr{C}^p(X \times S/S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(S, C^p(X)) \ ,$$

functorial in S. The bijections θ are also functorial in X by Corollary 3.6.3 in [18].

Notice that if $d = 0$, then, by convention, $C^p_0(X) = \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and the unique k-point of $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is the neutral element 0 of the free monoid $\mathscr{C}^p(X)$. Since 0 can be also considered as the empty codimension p relative cycle over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$, we in fact identify the unique k-point of $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ with the empty relative cycle.

It is trivial but worth noticing that if k' is another field and $\alpha : k \xrightarrow{\sim} k'$ is an isomorphism of fields, the scheme $C_r(X')$ is the pull-back of the scheme $C_r(X)$ with respect to the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\alpha)$, where X' is the pull-back of X, and the corresponding morphism from $C^p(X')$ to $C^p(X)$ is an isomorphism of schemes. The bijections $\theta_{X'}$ and θ_X commute by means of the obvious isomorphisms on monoids and Hom-sets induced by the isomorphism α.

Next, for a commutative (additive) monoid M, its completion M^+ can be constructed as the quotient of $M \oplus M$ by the image of the diagonal embedding. Let τ be the corresponding quotient homomorphism, and let ν be the composition of the embedding of M as one of the two direct summands and the homomorphism τ. Then $\nu : M \to M^+$ possesses the obvious universal property, and for any (a, b) in $M \oplus M$ the value $\tau(a, b)$ is the difference $\nu(a) - \nu(b)$. If M is a cancellation monoid then ν is injective, and we can identify M with its image in M^+. Modulo this identification, $\tau(a, b) = a - b$. In particular, we can consider the presheaf $\mathscr{Z}^p(X)$ of abelian groups on $\mathfrak{N}$, such that for each S the group of sections $\mathscr{Z}^p(X \times S/S)$ is the completion $\mathscr{C}^p(X \times S/S)^+$ of the monoid $\mathscr{C}^p(X \times S/S)$.

The Chow scheme $C^p(X) = \coprod_{d\geq 0} C^p_d(X)$ is naturally a commutative monoid, which can be completed getting the abelian group $Z^p(X) = C^p(X)^+$ with the attached homomorphisms τ and ν. As it is enough for the below applications, we assume that k is algebraically closed.

Let S and Y be two Noetherian schemes over k. Define a functor $\mathscr{H}om(S, Y)$ on $\mathfrak{N}$ sending a scheme T to the set $\mathscr{H}om(S, Y)(T)$ of morphisms from $S \times T$ to $Y \times T$ over T. The graphs of such morphisms give the embedding of $\mathscr{H}om(S, Y)$ into the Hilbert functor $\mathscr{H}ilb(S \times Y)$. If S and Y are projective over k, the latter functor is representable by the projective Hilbert scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}(S \times Y)$ and $\mathscr{H}om(S, Y)$ is representable by an open subscheme $\mathrm{Hom}(S, Y)$ in $\mathrm{Hilb}(S \times Y)$. Given a positive integer d, one can choose a suitable Hilbert polynomial Φ_d, such that the intersection $\mathscr{H}om^d(S, Y)$ of $\mathscr{H}om(S, Y)$ with $\mathscr{H}ilb_{\Phi_d}(S \times Y)$ inside $\mathscr{H}ilb(S \times Y)$ would be representable by an open subscheme $\mathrm{Hom}^d(S, Y)$ in the projective scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}_{\Phi_d}(S \times Y)$, and if $S = \mathbb{P}^1$ then $\mathrm{Hom}^d(\mathbb{P}^1, Y)$ would be the quasi-projective scheme over k parametrizing rational curves of degree d in

Y. By the universal property of fibred products over k one has the natural bijection between $\mathscr{H}om(S,Y)(T)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(T\times S,Y)$. This gives the adjunction $\mathrm{Hom}(T\times S,Y)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}(T,\mathrm{Hom}(S,Y))$ and the corresponding regular evaluation morphism of schemes $e_{S,Y}:\mathrm{Hom}(S,Y)\times S\to Y$. The latter also induces the regular evaluation morphism of quasi-projective schemes $e_{S,Y}:\mathrm{Hom}^d(S,Y)\times S\to Y$, for each positive integer d. In particular, if P is a closed point of $\mathbb{P}^1$ one has the regular evaluation morphism $e_P:\mathrm{Hom}^d(\mathbb{P}^1,Y)\to Y$ sending f to $f(P)$. This all can be found in [9].

Let now X be a nonsingular projective variety embedded into $\mathbb{P}^n$ over k. Let A and A' be two algebraic cycles of codimension p on X. The cycle A is rationally equivalent to the cycle A' if and only if there exists an effective codimension p algebraic cycle Z on $X\times\mathbb{P}^1$ and an effective codimension p algebraic cycle B on X, such that $Z(0)=A+B$ and $Z(\infty)=A'+B$. Assume A is rationally equivalent to A', and let $f_Z=\theta(Z)$ and $f_{B\times\mathbb{P}^1}=\theta(B\times\mathbb{P}^1)$ be two regular morphisms from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to $\mathscr{C}^p(X)$, where $\theta=\theta_X(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is the bijection from $\mathscr{C}^p(X\times\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{P}^1)$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{P}^1,C^p(X))$. Let also $f=f_Z\oplus f_{B\times\mathbb{P}^1}$ be the morphism from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to $C^p(X)\oplus C^p(X)$ generated by f_Z and $f_{B\times\mathbb{P}^1}$. Since $C^p(X)$ is a cancellation monoid, for any two elements $a,b\in C^p(X)$ the value $\tau(a,b)$ in $C^p(X)^+$ is $a-b$ after the identification of $C^p(X)$ with its image in $C^p(X)^+$ under the injective homomorphism ν from $C^p(X)$ to $C^p(X)^+$. Then

$$\tau f(0)=\tau(f_Z(0),f_{B\times\mathbb{P}^1})=f_Z(0)-f_{B\times\mathbb{P}^1}(0)=Z(0)-B=A$$

and

$$\tau f(\infty)=\tau(f_Z(\infty),f_{B\times\mathbb{P}^1})=f_Z(0)-f_{B\times\mathbb{P}^1}(\infty)=Z(\infty)-B=A'\,.$$

Vice versa, suppose there is a regular morphism $f=f_1\oplus f_2$ from $\mathbb{P}^1$ into the direct sum $C^p(X)\oplus C^p(X)$ such that $\tau f(0)=A$ and $\tau f(\infty)=A'$. Let Z_1 and Z_2 be two algebraic cycles in $\mathscr{C}^p(X\times\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{P}^1)$, such that $\theta(Z_i)=f_i$ for $i=1,2$, and let $Z=Z_1-Z_2$. Then $Z(0)=A$ and $Z(\infty)=A'$, which means that A is rationally equivalent to A'.

For any non-negative integers $d_1,\dots,d_s$ let $C^p_{d_1,\dots,d_s}(X)$ be the fibred product $C^p_{d_1}(X)\times\cdots\times C^p_{d_s}(X)$ over the ground field k. For any degree $d\geq 0$ let W_d be the set of ordered pairs $(A,B)\in C^p_{d,d}(X)$, such that the cycle A is rationally equivalent to the cycle B on X. For any non-negative u and positive v let $W_d^{u,v}$ be the subset of closed points (A,B) in $C^p_{d,d}(X)$, such that there exists a regular morphism f in $\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1,C^p_{d+u,u}(X))$ with $\tau f(0)=A$ and $\tau f(\infty)=B$. Then $W_d^{u,v}\subset W_d$ and $W_d=\cup_{u,v}W_d^{u,v}$. Let also $\bar{W}_d^{u,v}$ be the Zariski closure of the set $W_d^{u,v}$ in the projective scheme $C^p_{d,d}(X)$.

Proposition 1. *For any d, u and v the set $W_d^{u,v}$ is a quasi-projective subscheme in $C^p_{d,d}(X)$ whose Zariski closure $\bar{W}_d^{u,v}$ is contained in W_d.*

Proof. To prove the proposition all we need is to extend the arguments in [14] from zero-cycles and symmetric powers to codimension p cycles and Chow varieties. Let

$$e:\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1,C^p_{d+u,u}(X))\to C^p_{d+u,u,d+u,u}(X)$$

be the evaluation morphism sending $f:\mathbb{P}^1\to C^p_{d+u,u}(X)$ to the ordered pair $(f(0),f(\infty))$, and let

$$s:C^p_{d,u,d,u}(X)\to C^p_{d+u,u,d+u,u}(X)$$

be the regular morphism sending (A, C, B, D) to $(A+C, C, B+D, D)$. The two morphisms e and s allow us to take the product V of $\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C^p_{d+u,u}(X))$ and $C^p_{d,u,d,u}(X)$ over $C^p_{d+u,u,d+u,u}(X)$. Then V is the closed subvariety in the product of $\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C^p_{d+u,u}(X))$ and $C^p_{d,u,d,u}(X)$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ consisting of quintuples (f, A, C, B, D) with $e(f) = s(A, C, B, D)$, i.e.

$$(f(0), f(\infty)) = (A + C, C, B + D, D) \ .$$

The latter equality gives that $\mathrm{pr}_{2,3}(V) \subset W^{u,v}_d$, where $\mathrm{pr}_{2,3}$ is the projection of $\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C^p_{d+u,u}(X)) \times C^p_{d,u,d,u}(X)$ onto $C^p_{d,d}(X)$. Vice versa, if (A, B) is a closed point of $W^{u,v}_d$, there exists a regular morphism f in $\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C^p_{d+u,u}(X))$ with $\tau f(0) = A$ and $\tau f(\infty) = B$. Let $f(0) = (C', C)$ and $f(\infty) = (D', D)$. Then $\tau f(0) = C' - C = A$ and $\tau f(\infty) = D' - D = B$ in the completed monoid $Z^p(X) = C^p(X)^+$. To be pedant, this means that there exists effective codimension p algebraic cycles M and N on X, such that $C' + M = C + A + M$ and $D' + N = D + B + N$ in $C^p(X)$. Since $C^p(X)$ is a free monoid, it possesses the cancellation property. Therefore, $C' + M = C + A + M$ implies $C' = C + A$ and $D' + N = D + B + N$ implies $D' = D + B$. This yields $e(f) = s(A, C, B, D)$, whence $(f, A, C, B, D) \in V$. It means that (A, B) is in $\mathrm{pr}_{2,4}(V)$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{pr}_{2,3}(V) = W^{u,v}_d \ .$$

Being the image of a quasi-projective variety under the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{2,4}$ the set $W^{u,v}_d$ is itself a quasi-projective variety.

Let

$$\tilde{s} : C^p_{d,d,u,u}(X) \to C^p_{d+u,d+u,u,u}(X)$$

be the morphism obtained by composing and precomposing s with the transposition of the second and third factors in the domain and codomain of s. Then $W_d = \mathrm{pr}_{1,2}(\tilde{s}^{-1}(W_{d+u} \times W_u))$. Let (A, B, C, D) be a closed point in $C^p_{d,d,u,u}(X)$, such that $\tilde{s}(A, B, C, D) = (A + C, B + D, C, D)$ is in $W^{0,v}_{d+u} \times W^{0,v}_u$. It means that there exist two regular morphisms $g \in \mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C^p_{d+u}(X))$ and $h \in \mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C^p_u(X))$ with $g(0) = A + C$, $g(\infty) = B + D$, $h(0) = C$ and $h(\infty) = D$. Let $f = g \times h$. Then f is in $\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C^p_{d+u,u}(X))$, $f(0) = (A+C, C)$ and $f(\infty) = (B + D, D)$. Hence, $\tau f(0) = A$ and $\tau f(\infty) = B$. It means that $(A, B) \in W^{u,v}_d$. We have shown that $\mathrm{pr}_{1,2}(\tilde{s}^{-1}(W^{0,v}_{d+u} \times W^{0,v}_u)) \subset W^{u,v}_d$. Vice versa, suppose $(A, B) \in W^{u,v}_d$ and let f be a morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C^p_{d+u,u}(X))$ with $\tau f(0) = A$ and $\tau f(\infty) = B$. Composing f with the projections of $C^p_{d+u,u}(X)$ onto $C^p_{d+u}(X)$ and $C^p_u(X)$ one can easily show that $W^{u,v}_d \subset \mathrm{pr}_{1,2}(\tilde{s}^{-1}(W^{0,v}_{d+u} \times W^{0,v}_u))$. Thus,

$$W^{u,v}_d = \mathrm{pr}_{1,2}(\tilde{s}^{-1}(W^{0,v}_{d+u} \times W^{0,v}_u)) \ .$$

Since $\tilde{s}$ is continuous and $\mathrm{pr}_{1,2}$ is proper, $\bar{W}^{u,v}_d = \mathrm{pr}_{1,2}(\tilde{s}^{-1}(\bar{W}^{0,v}_{d+u} \times \bar{W}^{0,v}_u))$. This gives that to prove the second assertion of the proposition it is enough to show that $\bar{W}^{0,v}_d$ is contained in W_d.

Let (A, B) be a closed point of $\bar{W}^{0,v}_d$. If (A, B) is in $W^{0,v}_d$, then it is also in W_d. Suppose that (A, B) is in $\bar{W}^{0,v}_d \setminus W^{0,v}_d$. Let W be an irreducible component of the quasi-projective variety $W^{0,v}_d$ whose Zariski closure $\bar{W}$ contains the point (A, B). Let U be an affine neighbourhood of (A, B) in $\bar{W}$. Since (A, B) is in the closure of W the set $U \cap W$ is non-empty. Let C be an irreducible curve passing through

(A, B) in U and let $\bar{C}$ be the Zariski closure of C in $\bar{W}$. The evaluation regular morphisms $e_0 : \mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C_d^p(X)) \to C_d^p(X)$ and $e_\infty : \mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C_d^p(X)) \to C_d^p(X)$ give the regular morphism $e_{0,\infty} : \mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C_d^p(X)) \to C_{d,d}^p(X)$. Then $W_d^{0,v}$ is exactly the image of the regular morphism $e_{0,\infty}$, and we can choose a quasi-projective curve T in $\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C_d^p(X))$, such that the closure of the image $e_{0,\infty}(T)$ is $\bar{C}$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathscr{C}_d^p(X))$ is a quasi-projective variety, we can embed it into some projective space $\mathbb{P}^m$. Let $\bar{T}$ be the closure of T in $\mathbb{P}^m$, let $\tilde{T}$ be the normalization of $\bar{T}$ and let $\tilde{T}_0$ be the pre-image of T in $\tilde{T}$. Consider the composition

$$f_0 : \tilde{T}_0 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to T \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathrm{Hom}^v(\mathbb{P}^1, C_d^p(X)) \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{e} C_d^p(X) \ ,$$

where e is the evaluation morphism $e_{\mathbb{P}^1, C_d^p(X)}$. The regular morphism f_0 defines a rational map

$$f : \tilde{T} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow C_d^p(X)$$

Since $\tilde{T}$ is a non-singular projective curve, the product $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a non-singular projective surface over the ground field. Under this condition there exists a finite chain of σ-processes $(\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{P}^1)' \to \tilde{T} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ resolving indeterminacy of f and giving a regular morphism

$$f' : (\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{P}^1)' \to C_d^p(X) \ .$$

The regular morphism $\tilde{T}_0 \to T \to \bar{C}$ extends to the regular morphism $\tilde{T} \to \bar{C}$. Let P be a point in the fibre of this morphism at (A, B). For any closed point Q on $\mathbb{P}^1$ the restriction $f|_{\tilde{T} \times \{Q\}}$ of the rational map f onto $\tilde{T} \times \{Q\} \simeq \tilde{T}$ is regular on the whole curve $\tilde{T}$, because $\tilde{T}$ is non-singular. Then $(f|_{\tilde{T} \times \{0\}})(P) = A$ and $(f|_{\tilde{T} \times \{\infty\}})(P) = B$. It means that the points A and B are connected by a finite collection of curves which are the images of rational curves on $(\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{P}^1)'$ under the regular morphism f'. In turn, it follows that A is rationally equivalent to B, whence $(A, B) \in W_d$. □

In what follows, for any equi-dimensional algebraic scheme V let $CH^p(V)$ be the Chow group, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, of codimension p algebraic cycles modulo rational equivalence on V. Let then

$$\theta_d^p : C_{d,d}^p(X) \to CH^p(X)$$

be the map sending (P, Q) to the class of the difference $Z_P - Z_Q$, where Z_P and Z_Q are degree d and codimension p cycles on X corresponding to the points P and Q respectively.

Corollary 2. *$(\theta_d^p)^{-1}(0)$ is a countable union of irreducible Zariski closed subsets in the Chow scheme $C_{d,d}^p(X)$.*

Proof. Proposition 1 gives that W_d is the countable union of Zariski closed sets $\bar{W}_d^{u,v}$ over u and v. This completes the proof. □

3. The kernel of a proper push-forward on Chow groups

Let k be an algebraically closed uncountable field of characteristic zero. All schemes in this section will be either over k or over the residue fields of points of schemes over k. For an algebraic variety Y over k, let $CH^p(Y)$ be the codimension n Chow group of Y and let $A^p(Y)$ be the subgroup in $CH^p(Y)$ generated by

algebraically trivial algebraic cycles on Y. Suppose V is another algebraic variety over k with a closed point P_0. Let Z be an algebraic cycle of codimension n on the product $V \times Y$. For any closed point P on V we have the standard algebraic cycle $Z(P)$ of codimension n on Y. Obviously, the cycle $Z(P) - Z(P_0)$ is algebraically trivial on Y. Then we obtain a map $V \to A^p(Y)$ sending any closed point P on V to the class of the cycle $Z(P) - Z(P_0)$ on Y. This map is nothing but the algebraic family of codimension n algebraically trivial cycle classes on Y determined by the algebraic cycle Z on $V \times Y$ and the fixed point P_0 on the parameter variety V.

If now A is an abelian variety over k, then a group homomorphism $A^p(Y) \to A$ is called to be regular if its pre-composition with any family of algebraic cycles $V \to A^p(Y)$ in the above sense is a regular morphism over k. A regular homomorphism $\psi_Y^p : A^p(Y) \to A_Y^p$ into an abelian variety A_Y^p over k is called to be universal if, having another regular homomorphism $\psi : A^p(Y) \to A$, there exists a unique homomorphism of abelian varieties $A_Y^p \to A$, such that the obvious diagram commutes.

For example, in codimension $n = 1$, if Y is projective and nonsingular, the universal regular homomorphism is the isomorphism $A^1(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)$. The main result in [12] says that ψ_Y^p always exists in codimension $p = 2$, for a nonsingular projective Y.

Let Y and X be two nonsingular projective varieties over k, and let Z be a correspondence of degree e from Y to X, where $e = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$. In other words, Z is an algebraic cycle of codimension $\dim(X)$ on $Y \times X$. The correspondence Z induces a homomorphism $Z_* : CH^p(Y) \to CH^{p+e}(X)$, depending only on the class of rational equivalence of Z and preserving any adequate equivalence relation on algebraic cycles. In particular, one has the homomorphism $Z_* : A^p(Y) \to A^{p+e}(X)$. Then, for any regular morphism $\phi : A^{p+e}(X) \to B$ the composition $\phi \circ Z_* : A^p(Y) \to B$ is regular. If ψ_X^p exists for Y then $\phi \circ Z_*$ induces the regular homomorphism $(Z_*)_\phi : A_Y^p \to B$. If Z is the graph of a regular morphism $r : Y \to X$ then we will write r_* instead of Z_* and, respectively, $(r_*)_\phi$ for the induced regular homomorphism from A_Y^p to B.

Before to go any further, we need to prove a few fairly simple lemmata.

Lemma 3. *Let V be an irreducible quasi-projective algebraic variety over an uncountable algebraically closed field. Then V cannot be written as a countable union of its Zariski closed subsets, each of which is not the whole V.*

Proof. Since V is supposed to be irreducible, without loss of generality we may assume that V is affine. Let d be the dimension of V and suppose $V = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V_n$ is the union of closed subsets V_n in V, such that $V_n \neq V$ for each n. By Emmy Noether's lemma, there exists a finite surjective morphism $f : V \to \mathbb{A}^d$ over k. Let W_n be the image of V_n under f. Since f is finite, it is proper. Therefore, W_n are closed in $\mathbb{A}^d$, so that we obtain that the affine space $\mathbb{A}^d$ is the union of W_n's. Since the ground field is uncountable, the set of all hyperplanes in $\mathbb{A}^d$ is uncountable. Therefore, there exists a hyperplane H, such that $W_n \not\subset H$ for any index n. Induction reduces the assertion of the lemma to the case when $d = 1$. □

A countable union $V = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V_n$ of algebraic varieties will be called irredundant if V_n is irreducible for each n and $V_m \not\subset V_n$ for $m \neq n$. In an irredundant decomposition, the sets V_n will be called c-components of V.

Lemma 4. *Let V be a countable union of algebraic varieties over an uncountable algebraically closed ground field. Then V admits an irredundant decomposition, and such an irredundant decomposition is unique.*

Proof. Let $V = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V'_n$ be a countable union of algebraic varieties over k. For each n let $V'_n = V'_{n,1} \cup \cdots \cup V'_{n,r_n}$ be the irreducible components of V'_n. Ignoring all components $V'_{m,i}$ with $V'_{m,i} \subset V'_{n,j}$ for some n and j we end up with a irredundant decomposition. Having two irredundant decompositions $V = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V_n$ and $V = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} W_n$, suppose there exists V_m such that V_m is not contained in W_n for any n. Then V_m is the union of the closed subsets $V_m \cap W_n$, each of which is not V_m. This contradicts to Lemma 6. Therefore, any V_m is contained in some W_n. By symmetry, any W_n is in V_l for some l. Then $V_m \subset V_l$. By irredundancy, $l = m$ and $V_m = W_n$. □

Lemma 5. *Let A be an abelian variety over an uncountable algebraically closed field, and let K be a subgroup which can be represented as a countable union of Zariski closed subsets in A. Then the irredundant decomposition of K contains a unique irreducible component passing through 0, and this component is an abelian subvariety in A.*

Proof. Let $K = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n$ be the irredundant decomposition of K, which exists by Lemma 4. Since $0 \in K$, there exists at least one component in the irredundant decomposition, which contains 0. Suppose there are s components $K_1, \ldots, K_s$ containing 0 and $s > 1$. The summation in K gives the regular morphism from the product $K_1 \times \cdots \times K_s$ into A, whose image is the irreducible Zariski closed subset $K_1 + \cdots + K_s$ in A. By Lemma 6, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $K_1 + \cdots + K_s \subset K_n$ and so $K_i \subset K_n$ for each $1 \le i \le n$. By irredundancy, $s = 1$, which contradicts to the assumption $s > 1$.

After renumbering of the components, we may assume that $0 \in K_0$. If $K_0 = \{0\}$, then K_0 is trivially an abelian variety. Suppose $K_0 \neq \{0\}$ and take a non-trivial element x in K_0. Since $-x + K_0$ is irreducible, it must be in some K_n by Lemma 6. As $0 \in -x + K_0$ it follows that $0 \in K_n$ and so $n = 0$. It follows that $-x \in K_0$. Similarly, since $K_0 + K_0$ is irreducible and contains K_0, we see that $K_0 + K_0 = K_0$. Being a Zariski closed abelian subgroup in A, the set K_0 is an abelian subvariety in A. □

Let now $r : Y \to X$ be a proper morphism of nonsingular projective varieties over k and impose the following two assumptions on the variety Y, which will be considered as standard assumptions throughout the paper.

(A) the universal regular homomorphism ψ_Y^p exists and is an isomorphism of abelian groups and

(B) the quotient group of algebraic cycles of codimension p on Y modulo algebraically trivial algebraic cycles is $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $r_* : A^p(Y) \to A^{p+e}(X)$ be the push-forward homomorphism induced by the proper morphism r, where $e = \dim(X) - \dim(Y)$, and let K_r^p be the image of the kernel of the homomorphism r_* under the isomorphism ψ_Y^p between $A^p(Y)$ and A_Y^p.

Proposition 6. *Under the above assumptions, there exists an abelian subvariety $A^p_{Y,r}$ and a countable subset Ξ^p_r in A^p_Y, such that K^p_r is the union of the shifts of the abelian subvariety $A^p_{Y,r}$ by the elements from Ξ^p_r in A^p_Y.*

Proof. Fix a polarization $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$. For any two closed points A and B in $C^p_d(Y)$ the difference $A-B$ is a codimension p cycle of degree zero on Y. The assumption that $CH^p(Y)$ splits into $A^p(Y)$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ guarantees that $A - B$ is algebraically equivalent to zero on Y. Then the map θ^p_d from $C^p_{d,d}(Y)$ to $CH^p(Y)$, defined in Section 2, takes its values in $A^p(Y)$, and we obtain the map θ^p_d from $C^p_{d,d}(Y)$ to $A^p(Y)$.

Since ψ^p_Y is an isomorphism, it follows that $A^p(Y)$ is weakly representable. In other words, there exists a nonsingular projective curve C and an algebraic cycle Z of codimension p on $C \times Y$, such that the homomorphism $[Z]_* : A^1(C) \to A^p(Y)$, induced by the cycle class $[Z]$, is onto. The Chow scheme $C^1_d(C)$ is nothing but the d-th symmetric power of the curve C. Then we have the map θ^1_d from the 2-fold product $C^1_{d,d}(C)$ of this symmetric power to $A^1(C)$. If g is the genus of the curve C, then the map θ^1_g is surjective. For any two closed points P and P' on C the degree of the cycle classes $\mathrm{pr}_{Y*}(pr^*_C[P] \cdot [Z])$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{Y*}(pr^*_C[P'] \cdot [Z])$ is the same. Call it d_0 and set d to be the product of d_0 with the genus g. Then the surjectivity of the map θ^1_g from $C^1_{g,g}(C)$ to $A^1(C)$ and the homomorphism $[Z]_*$ from $A^1(C)$ to $A^p(Y)$ give the surjectivity of the map θ^p_d from $C^p_{d,d}(Y)$ to $A^p(Y)$.

Let K be the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism $r_* : A^p(Y) \to A^{p+e}(X)$. Clearly, K is also the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism r_* from $A^p(Y)$ to $CH^{p+e}(X)$. Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^p_{d,d}(Y) & \xrightarrow{r_*} & C^{p+e}_{d,d}(X) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta^p_d} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta^{p+e}_d} \\ A^p(Y) & \xrightarrow{r_*} & CH^{p+e}(X) \end{array}$$

where the top homomorphism r_* is induced by the proper morphism r. Let K' be the pre-image of 0 under the triple composition homomorphism $\theta^{p+e}_d \circ r_* \circ Z_*$ from $C^1_{d,d}$ to $A^{p+e}(X)$. Since the homomorphisms $\theta^1_d : C^1_{d,d}(C) \to A^1(C)$ and $Z_* : A^1(C) \to A^p(Y)$ are both surjective, it follows that the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism $r_* : A^p(Y) \to A^{p+e}(X)$ is the image of K' under the composition $Z_* \circ \theta^1_d$ from $C^1_{d,d}(C)$ to $A^p(Y)$. Respectively, $K = K^p_r$ is the image of K' under the triple composition of the homomorphisms θ^1_d, ψ^1_C and $(Z_*)_{\psi^p_Y}$ from $C^1_{d,d}(C)$ to A^p_Y. By Corollary 2, $(\theta^{p+e}_d)^{-1}(0)$ is the union of a countable collection of closed subvarieties in $C^{p+e}_{d,d}(X)$. Then so is K'. As the morphisms in the latter triple composition are all regular, K is again the union of a countable collection of closed subvarieties in $C^1_{d,d}(C)$. By Lemma 4, the set K admits a unique irredundant decomposition. Let A_0 be the unique component of that decomposition passing through 0, which is an abelian subvariety in the abelian variety $A = A^p_Y$ by Lemma 5. Let us show that A_0 is the required abelien variety $A^p_{Y,r}$ from the statement of the proposition.

Indeed, for any x in K the set $x + A_0$ is an irreducible Zariski closed subset in K. Since $K = \cup_{x\in K}(x+A_0)$, ignoring each set $x+A_0$ which is a subsets in $y+A_0$ for some $y \in K$, we can find a subset $\Xi = \Xi^p_r$ in K, such that $K = \cup_{x\in\Xi}(x + A_0)$ and for any two elements $x, x' \in \Xi$ the irreducible sets $x+A_0$ and $x'+A_0$ are not contained one in another. Take the irredundant decomposition $K = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}K_n$, which exists by Lemma 4. Since $x + A_0$ is irreducible, it is contained in K_n for some n by Lemma 6. Then $A_0 \subset -x + K_n$. Similarly, $-x + K_n \subset K_l$ for some l, so that $K_l = A_0$ by Lemma 5. This yields $x + A_0 = K_n$, for each $x \in \Xi$. It means that the set $\Xi = \Xi^p_r$ is countable.

The last assertion holds true because $(r_*)_\phi \circ \psi^p_Y$ is the same as $\phi \circ r_*$. □

4. The Gysin homomorphism on étale cohomology groups

For short, let $A = A^p_Y$, $K = K^p_r$ and $A_0 = A^p_{Y,r}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 6. Choose an ample line bundle L on the abelian variety A. Let $i : A_0 \to A$ be the closed embedding of A_0 into A, and let L_0 be the pull-back of L to A_0 under the closed embedding i. Define the homomorphism ζ on divisors via the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^1(A_0) & \xrightarrow{\ \zeta\ } & A^1(A) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\lambda_{L_0})_*} & & \Big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \lambda^*_L} \\ A^1(A_0^\vee) & \xrightarrow{\ i^{\vee *}\ } & A^1(A^\vee) \end{array}$$

Similarly, we define the homomorphism $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_l}$ on cohomology by means of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Z}_l) & \xrightarrow{\ \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_l}\ } & H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Z}_l) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_{L_0 *}} & & \Big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \lambda^*_L} \\ H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0^\vee, \mathbb{Z}_l) & \xrightarrow{\ i^{\vee *}\ } & H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A^\vee, \mathbb{Z}_l) \end{array}$$

and analogously for the homomorphism

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l} : H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l) \ .$$

The homomorphism $\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_l}$ induces the injective homomorphisms

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l} : H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Q}_l) = H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Z}_l) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Q}_l) = H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Z}_l) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$$

and

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l : H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Z}_l) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Z}_l) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l \ .$$

Next, since ψ^p_Y is an isomorphism, the group $A^p(Y)$ is weakly representable. It means that there exists a smooth projective curve Γ, a cycle Z of codimension p on $\Gamma \times Y$, and an algebraic subgroup $G \subset J_\Gamma$ in the Jacobian variety J_Γ, such that the induced homomorphism

$$z_* : J_\Gamma = A^1(\Gamma) \to A^p(Y) \simeq A$$

is surjective, and its kernel is the group G. Here z is the cycle class of Z in the Chow group $CH^p(\Gamma \times Y)$. Furthermore, the class z gives the morphism

$$z : M(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{L}^{p-1} \to M(Y) \ ,$$

where $M(-)$ is the functor from nonsingular projective varieties over k to (contravariant) Chow motives over k, $\mathbb{L}$ is the Lefschetz motive and $\mathbb{L}^n$ is the n-fold tensor power of $\mathbb{L}$. Then we just copy the construction from [6]. Namely, fix a point on the curve Γ and consider the induced embedding $i_\Gamma : \Gamma \to J_\Gamma$. Let $\alpha : J_\Gamma \to A$ be the projection from the Jacobian J_Γ onto the abelian variety $A = J_\Gamma/G$. Define w to be the composition $z \circ (M(\alpha \circ i_\Gamma) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{L}^{p-1}})$ in the category of Chow motives with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then w is a morphism from the motive $M(A) \otimes \mathbb{L}^{p-1}$ to the motive $M(Y)$, which induces the homomorphism

$$w_* : H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p)) \to H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

Proposition 7. *The image of the composition*

$$H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p)) \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l}} H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p)) \xrightarrow{w_*} H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

is contained in the kernel of the Gysin homomorphism

$$H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{r_*} H^{2(p+e)-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l) \ .$$

Proof. For the proof we will be using Bloch's l-adic Abel-Jacobi maps. For any abelian group A, a prime l and positive integer n let A_{l^n} be the kernel of the multiplication by l^n endomorphism of A and let $A(l)$ be the l-primary part of A, i.e. the union of the groups A_{l^n} for all n. For any smooth projective variety V over k, there is a canonical homomorphism

$$\lambda^p_l(V) : CH^p(V)(l) \to H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(V, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(p)) \ ,$$

constructed by Bloch in [3]. The homomorphisms $\lambda^p_l(V)$ are functorial with respect to the action of correspondences between smooth projective varieties over k. Moreover, the homomorphisms $\lambda^1_l(V) : CH^1(V)(l) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(V, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1))$ are isomorphisms, loc.cit.

Since A_0 and A are abelian varieties, their Néron-Severi groups are torsion free. It follows that $CH^1(A_0)(l) = A^1(A_0)(l)$ and $CH^1(A)(l) = A^1(A)(l)$, so that we actually have the isomorphism $\lambda^1_l(A_0)$ between $A^1(A_0)(l)$ and $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1))$, and the isomorphism $\lambda^1_l(A)$ between $A^1(A)(l)$ and $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1))$. Similarly, one has the isomorphisms $\lambda^1_l(A^\vee_0)$ and $\lambda^1_l(A^\vee)$ for the dual abelian varieties. The functorial properties of Bloch's maps λ^1_l give that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^1(A_0)(l) & \xrightarrow{\quad\zeta\quad} & A^1(A)(l) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda^1_l(A_0)}\ \sim & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda^1_l(A)}\ \sim \\ H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1)) & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l}} & H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \end{array} \tag{1}$$

is commutative.

For a smooth projective V over k, one has the homomorphisms

$$\varrho^{i,j}_l(V) : H^i_{\acute{e}t}(V, \mathbb{Z}_l(j)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l \to H^i_{\acute{e}t}(V, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(j)) \ ,$$

with finite kernels and cokernels, considered in [6]. In particular, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1)) & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l}} & H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varrho_l^{1,1}(A_0)} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varrho_l^{1,1}(A)} \\ H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l} & H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l \end{array} \tag{2}$$

Let $\sigma : A_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} A^1(A_0^\vee)$ and $\sigma : A \xrightarrow{\sim} A^1(A^\vee)$ be the autoduality isomorphisms. The above morphism of motives $w : M(A) \otimes \mathbb{L}^{p-1} \to M(Y)$ induces the homomorphism $w_* : A^1(A) \to A^p(Y)$ on Chow groups. A straightforward verification shows that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^1(A)(l) & \xrightarrow{w_*} & A^p(Y)(l) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_L^*} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (\psi_Y^p)^{-1}} \\ A^1(A^\vee)(l) & \xleftarrow{\sigma} & A(l) \end{array} \tag{3}$$

is commutative. The homomorphism $w_* : A^1(A) \to A^p(Y)$ on Chow groups and the homomorphism $w_* : H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \to H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(p))$ induced by w on cohomology fit into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^1(A)(l) & \xrightarrow{w_*} & A^p(Y)(l) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_l^1(A)}\ \sim & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda_l^p(Y)} \\ H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(1)) & \xrightarrow{w_*} & H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(p)) \end{array} \tag{4}$$

The commutativity of the diagrams (1), (2), (3) and (4), the definition of the abelian variety A_0 and easy diagram chase over the obvious commutative diagrams with Gysin mappings show that the image of the triple composition $r_* \circ w_* \circ (\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Z}_l(1)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l$ to $H^{2(p+e)-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Z}_l(p+e)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l$ is contained in the kernel of the homomorphism $\varrho_l^{2(p+e)-1,p+e}(X)$ from $H^{2(p+e)-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Z}_l(p+e)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l$ to $H^{2(p+e)-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l(p+e))$. Since the latter kernel is finite, we see that the image of the composition $r_* \circ w_* \circ (\zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l/\mathbb{Z}_l)$ is finite too. Since the étale cohomology groups of smooth projective varieties with $\mathbb{Z}_l$-coefficients are finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_l$-modules, it follows that the image of the triple composition $r_* \circ w_* \circ \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_0, \mathbb{Q}_l(1))$ to $H^{2(p+e)-1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l(p+e))$ is zero, which finishes the proof of the proposition. □

Remark 8. If $\dim(Y) = 1$ and $p = 1$, then $w_* : H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p)) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is an isomorphism by the standard argument. If $\dim(Y) = 3$ and $p = 2$, the homomorphism w_* between $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l(-1))$ and $H^3_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 4.3 in [6].

In the applications below we will be dealing with the case when X is embedded into a projective space, the dimension of X is $2p$ and Y is a nonsingular hyperplane section of X, so that $\dim(Y) = 2p-1$ and $e = 1$. Assume also that $p = 1$ or 2, in order to have that w_* is an isomorphism by Remark 8. If $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ vanishes, then the primitive cohomology group coincides with $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. This is the case when X is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{2p+1}$. If $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is nonzero, then we need to construct an abelian subvariety A_1 in $A = A^p_Y$, such that the image of the injective homomorphism $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_1, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, induced by the inclusion $A_1 \subset A$, would coincide with the kernel of the composition of the isomorphism w_* with the Gysin homomorphism r_* from $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. Notice that the Gysin homomorphism is surjective by the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem.

If $p = 1$, then X is a surface and Y is a curve. Then A^1_Y can be identified with the Albanese variety of Y and $\psi^1_Y : A^1(Y) \to A^1_Y$ is the Abel-Jacobi isomorphism for the curve Y. The group $A^2(X)$ also admits a universal regular homomorphism ψ^2_X, which is nothing but the Albanese mapping from $A^2(X)$ to the Albenese variety A^2_X of X over k. Let A_1 has to be taken to be the connected component of the kernel of the induced homomorphism from A^1_Y to A^2_X.

When $p = 2$ the situation is a bit more difficult. Suppose first that k is $\mathbb{C}$. Then, for any algebraic variety V over $\mathbb{C}$ and any non-negative integer n the étale cohomology group $H^n_{\acute{e}t}(V, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is functorially isomorphic to the singular cohomology group $H^*(V(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}_l) = H^*(V(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$. The étale cohomology groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}_l$ can be further tensored with the algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ of the l-adic field over $\mathbb{Q}_l$. Fixing an isomorphism between $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ and $\mathbb{C}$, the étale cohomology groups $H^*_{\acute{e}t}(-, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ are functorially isomorphic to the singular cohomology groups $H^*(-, \mathbb{C})$. The Gysin homomorphism r_* from $H^{2p-1}(Y, \mathbb{C})$ to $H^{2p+1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ is a morphism of Hodge structures, so that its kernel H_1 is a Hodge substructure in $H^{2p-1}(Y, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose $p = 2$. By Remark 8 the group $H^3(Y, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the group $H^1(A, \mathbb{C})$ via the homomorphism w_*, and w_* is obviously a morphism of Hodge structures too. It follows that H_1 is of weight 1. This gives an abelian subvariety A_1 in $A = A^2_Y$, where $A^2_Y = J^2(Y)_{\mathrm{alg}}$ (see [12]). Using the above functorial isomorphisms between étale and complex cohomology groups, one can show that the image of the injective homomorphism from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_1, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, induced by the inclusion $A_1 \subset A$, coincides with the kernel of the composition of the isomorphism w_* from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p))$ to $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ with the surjective Gysin homomorphism r_* from $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$.

Let now $p = 2$ and k be an arbitrary uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. In such a situation we still can construct A_1 using the fact that k has an infinite transcendence degree over $\mathbb{Q}$. The trick is more than standard. Let L be the algebraic closure in k of the minimal field of definition of the varieties X, Y, Γ, A and A_0, as well as the above correspondence z from Γ to Y, so that all these varieties, the homomorphisms w_* and r_* all are coming from the corresponding models X_L, Y_L, Γ_L, A_L, $A_{0,L}$, w_{L*} and r_{L*} over L. Fixing an embedding of L into $\mathbb{C}$, we can now extend scalars from L to $\mathbb{C}$ getting the varieties $(X_L)_{\mathbb{C}}$, $(Y_L)_{\mathbb{C}}$, $(\Gamma_L)_{\mathbb{C}}$, $(A_L)_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(A_{0,L})_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, an similarly for w_{L*} and r_{*L}. Working over $\mathbb{C}$ we now can construct the abelian subvariety $(A_1)_{\mathbb{C}}$ as above.

It has a model over a field extension L' of L inside $\mathbb{C}$, whose transcendence degree over L is finite. Since the transcendence degree of k over $\mathbb{Q}$ is infinite, we can embed L' back into k over L getting the needed abelian variety A_1 over k. Now again the image of the homomorphism $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_1, \mathbb{Q}_l) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ coincides with the kernel of the composition of the homomorphism w_* from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p))$ to $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ with the homomorphism r_* from $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l)$.

The technique used in the proof of Proposition 7 allows to show that, in all cases, A_0 is a subvariety in A_1.

And, certainly, if $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(X, \mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$, then we set $A_1 = A$.

5. Very general versus geometric generic fibre in a family

In this section, k is an algebraically closed field whose transcendental degree over the primary subfield is infinite. We will be using the following terminology. If V is an algebraic scheme over k, a (Zariski) c-closed subset in V is a union of a countable collection of Zariski closed irreducible subsets in V. A (Zariski) c-open subset in V is the complement to a c-closed subset in V. A property P of points in V holds for a very general point on V if there exists a c-open subset U in V, such that P holds for each closed point in U.

Let S be an integral affine scheme of finite type over k, let $k(S)$ be the function field of S, and let $\overline{k(S)}$ be the algebraic closure of the field $k(S)$. Let $I(S)$ be the ideal of S and let $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ be a set of generators of $I(S)$. The polynomials f_i have a finite number of coefficients. Then we can choose a countable algebraically closed subfield k_0 in k, such that there exists an irreducible quasi-projective scheme S_0 over k_0 with $S = S_0 \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k_0)} \mathrm{Spec}(k)$.

Let Z be a closed subscheme of S_0, and let $i_Z : Z \subset S_0$ be the corresponding closed embedding. Then Z is defined by an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $k_0[S_0]$. Since the field k_0 is countable and $\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated, there exists only countably many closed subschemes Z in S_0. For each Z let U_Z be the complement $S_0 \smallsetminus \mathrm{im}(i_Z)$, $Z_k = Z \times_{k_0} k$ and $(U_Z)_k = U_Z \times_{k_0} k$. If $(i_Z)_k : Z_k \to S$ is the pull-back of i_Z with respect to the extension k/k_0, then $(U_Z)_k$ is the complement $S \smallsetminus \mathrm{im}((i_Z)_k)$. Let, furthermore,

$$U = S \smallsetminus \cup_Z \mathrm{im}((i_Z)_k) = \cap_Z (U_Z)_k \ ,$$

where the union is taken over closed subschemes Z, such that $\mathrm{im}((i_Z)_k) \neq S$. Notice that the last condition is equivalent to the condition $\mathrm{im}(i_Z) \neq S_0$. The set U is c-open by its construction (see also the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [19]).

Proposition 9. *For any closed k-point P in U, one can construct a field isomorphism between $\overline{k(S)}$ and k, whose value at $f \in k_0[S_0]$ is $f(P)$.*

Proof. If now P is a closed k-point in the above defined subset U in S, defined by the corresponding morphism $f_P : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to S$, then its image under the projection $\pi : S \to S_0$ belongs to U_Z for each Z, such that $\mathrm{im}(i_Z) \neq S_0$. This means that this image is noting but the generic point $\eta_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(k_0(S_0))$ of the scheme S_0. In other words, there exists a morphism $h_P : \mathrm{Spec}(k) \to \mathrm{Spec}(k_0(S_0)) = \eta_0$, such that $\pi \circ f_P = g_0 \circ h_P$, where g_0 is a morphism from the generic point η_0 to S_0. In terms of commutative rings, it means the following. If $ev_P : k[S] \to k$ is the evaluation at P, i.e. the morphism inducing f_P on spectra, there exists a

morphism of fields ϵ_P making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[S] & \xrightarrow{ev_P} & k \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon_P} \\ k_0[S_0] & \longrightarrow & k_0(S_0) \end{array} \tag{5}$$

to be commutative, where k in the top right corner is considered as the residue field of the scheme S at P. Since the left vertical morphism is injective, $k_0[S_0] \smallsetminus \{0\}$ is a multiplicative system in $k[S]$. It is not hard to see that the localization $(k_0[S_0] \smallsetminus \{0\})^{-1}k[S]$ is the tensor product of $k[S]$ and $k_0(S_0)$ over $k_0[S_0]$. This is why there exists a unique universal morphism of rings ε_P whose restriction on the ring $k[S]$ is ev_P and the restriction on $k_0(S_0)$ is ϵ_P. Our aim is to construct an embedding of $k(S)$ into k whose restriction to $k_0(S_0)$ would be ϵ_P. Certainly, such an embedding will *not* be over the ring $(k_0[S_0] \smallsetminus \{0\})^{-1}k[S]$.

Let d be the dimension of S_0. By the Noether normalization lemma, there exist d algebraically independent elements, $x_1, \dots, x_d$ in $k_0[S_0]$, such that the latest ring is integral (i.e. finitely generated) over the ring $k_0[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, and $k_0(S_0)$ is algebraic over the field of fractions $k_0(x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Then $k[S]$ is integral over the ring $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $k(S)$ is algebraic over $k(x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Let $b_i = ev_P(x_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Since $P \in U$, the quantities $b_1, \dots, b_d$ are algebraically independent over k_0. Extend the set $\{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$ to a transcendental basis B of k over k_0, so that $k = k_0(B)$. Since B is of infinite cardinality, so is the set $B \smallsetminus \{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$. Choose and fix a bijection $\vartheta_{P,B} : B \xrightarrow{\sim} B \smallsetminus \{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$. It gives the field embedding

$$\theta_{P,B} : k = k_0(B) \simeq k_0(B \smallsetminus \{b_1, \dots, b_d\}) \subset k_0(B) = \Omega$$

over k_0, such that the set $\{b_1, \dots, b_d\}$ is algebraically independent over $\theta_{P,B}(k)$. In ones turn, $\theta_{P,B}$ induces the field embedding $\theta_{P,B} : k(x_1, \dots, x_d) \to k$ sending x_i to b_i for each i. The restriction of $\theta_{P,B}$ on $k_0(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is the restriction of ϵ_P on the same field. Since $k(S)$ is the tensor product of $k(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ and $k_0(S_0)$ over $k_0(x_1, \dots, x_d)$, we get a uniquely defined field embedding $\Theta_{P,B} : k(S) \to k$, which can be extended to an isomorphism $e_P : \overline{k(S)} \xrightarrow{\sim} k$. As the square (5) is commutative, $e_P(f) = f(P)$ for each f in $k_0[S_0]$. □

Remark 10. It is important to mention that the above isomorphism e_P is non-canonical depends on the choice of the transcendental basis B containing the quantities $b_1, \dots, b_d$.

Let now $f : \mathscr{X} \to S$ be a flat morphism of schemes over k. Extending k_0 if necessary we may well assume that there exists a morphism of schemes $f_0 : \mathscr{X}_0 \to S_0$ over k_0, such that f is the pull-back of f_0 under the field extension from k_0 to k. Let $\eta_0 = \mathrm{Spec}(k_0(S_0))$ be the generic point of the scheme S_0, $\eta = \mathrm{Spec}(k(S))$ the generic point of the scheme S, and $\bar{\eta} = \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k(S)})$ be the geometric generic point of S. Then we also have the corresponding fibres $\mathscr{X}_{0,\eta_0}$, $\mathscr{X}_\eta$ and $\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$.

Pulling back the scheme-theoretic isomorphism $\mathrm{Spec}(e_P)$ onto the fibres of the family f we obtain the Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{X}_P & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(k) \\ {\scriptstyle \varkappa_P}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Spec}(e_P)} \\ \mathscr{X}_{\bar\eta} & \longrightarrow & \bar\eta \end{array}$$

Since $\mathrm{Spec}(e_P)$ is an isomorphism of schemes over η_0, the morphism $\varkappa_P$ is an isomorphism of schemes over $\mathscr{X}_{0,\eta_0}$.

For any field F, a scheme Y over F and an automorphism σ of F let Y_σ be the fibred product of Y and $\mathrm{Spec}(F)$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(F)$, with regard to the automorphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\sigma)$, and let $w_\sigma : Y_\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ be the corresponding isomorphism of schemes over $\mathrm{Spec}(F^\sigma)$, where F^σ is the subfield of σ-invariant elements in F.

Let L be a field subextension of k/k_0. The projection $\mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{X}_0$ naturally factorizes through $\mathscr{X}_{0L} = \mathscr{X}_0 \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k_0)} \mathrm{Spec}(L)$. Composing the embedding of the fibre $\mathscr{X}_P$ into the total scheme $\mathscr{X}$ with the morphism $\mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{X}_{0L}$ we can consider $\mathscr{X}_P$ as a scheme over $\mathscr{X}_{0L}$.

If now P' is another closed k-point in U, let $\sigma_{PP'} = e_{P'} \circ e_P^{-1}$ be the automorphism of the field k, and let $\varkappa_{PP'} = \varkappa_{P'}^{-1} \circ \varkappa_P$ be the induced isomorphism of the fibres as schemes over $\mathrm{Spec}(k^{\sigma_{PP'}})$. In these terms, $(\mathscr{X}_P)_{\sigma_{PP'}} = \mathscr{X}_{P'}$, the isomorphism $w_{\sigma_{PP'}} : \mathscr{X}_{P'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{X}_P$ is over $\mathscr{X}_0 \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k_0)} \mathrm{Spec}(k^{\sigma_{PP'}})$, and $w_{\sigma_{PP'}} = \varkappa_{P'P}$. To see that we just need to use Proposition 9 and pull-back the scheme-theoretic isomorphisms between points on S to isomorphisms between the corresponding fibres of the morphism $f : \mathscr{X} \to S$.

Remark 11. The assumption that S is affine is not essential, of course. We can always cover S by open affine subschemes, construct the system of isomorphisms $\varkappa$ in each affine chart and then construct "transition isomorphisms" between very general fibres in a flat family over an arbitrary integral base S.

Let now $f : \mathscr{X} \to S$ and $g : \mathscr{Y} \to S$ be two flat morphisms of schemes over k, and let $r : \mathscr{Y} \to \mathscr{X}$ be a morphism of schemes over the base S, i.e. $f \circ r = g$. Extending k_0 appropriately we may assume that there exist models f_0, g_0 and h_0 over k_0 of the morphisms f, g and h respectively, such that $f_0 \circ r_0 = g_0$. Then, for any closed k-point P in U, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{Y}_P & \xrightarrow{r_P} & \mathscr{X}_P \\ {\scriptstyle \varkappa_P}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varkappa_P} \\ \mathscr{Y}_{\bar\eta} & \xrightarrow{r_{\bar\eta}} & \mathscr{X}_{\bar\eta} \end{array} \tag{6}$$

is commutative, where r_P and $r_{\bar\eta}$ are the obvious morphisms on fibres induced by the morphism r. Then, of course, the isomorphisms $\varkappa_{PP'}$ commute with the morphisms r_P and $r_{P'}$, for any two closed k-points P and P' in U. Cutting out more Zariski closed subsets from U we may assume that the fibres of the families f and g over the points from U are smooth.

Assume now that k is, moreover, uncountable of characteristic 0, and that Assumptions (A) and (B) are satisfied for the geometric generic fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$ and the fibre $\mathscr{Y}_P$ for each closed point P in U. To simplify our notation, let $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ be the abelian variety $A^p_{\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}}$, let A_P be the abelian variety $A^p_{\mathscr{Y}_P}$, let $\psi_{\bar{\eta}}$ be the universal regular isomorphism $\psi^p_{\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}}$ and let $\psi_{\bar{\eta}}$ be the universal regular isomorphism $\psi^p_{\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}}$, in terms of Section 3.

Lemma 12. *The scheme-theoretic isomorphism $\varkappa_P : \mathscr{Y}_P \to \mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$, constructed in Section 5, preserves the algebraic and rational equivalence of algebraic cycles.*

Proof. As we have already explained in Section 2, if $\alpha : k \xrightarrow{\sim} k'$ is an isomorphism of fields, the functorial bijections θ from the representation of Chow monoids by Chow schemes commute by means of the isomorphisms of monoids and Hom-sets induced by the isomorphism $\mathrm{Spec}(\alpha)$. In particular, if $k' = \overline{k(S)}$ and $\alpha = e_P^{-1}$, the bijections $\theta_{\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}}$ over $\overline{k(S)}$ commute with the bijections $\theta_{\mathscr{Y}_P}$ over k. The commutativity for the sections of the corresponding pre-sheaves on an algebraic curve C over k and its pull-back C' over k' gives the first assertion of the lemma. If $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, we get the second one. □

By Lemma 12, the isomorphism $\varkappa_P$ induces the push-forward isomorphism of abelian groups $\varkappa_{P*} : A^p(\mathscr{Y}_P) \to A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}})$. Let $\kappa_P : A_P \to A_{\bar{\eta}}$ be the composition $\psi^p_{\bar{\eta}} \circ \varkappa_{P*} \circ (\psi^p_P)^{-1}$. We will be saying that Assumption (A) is satisfied *in a family*, for the fibres $\mathscr{Y}_P$ at the closed points P in U, if there exists an abelian scheme $\mathscr{A}$ over a Zariski open subscheme W' in some finite extension S' of S, such that the fibre $\mathscr{A}_P$ of this abelian scheme at $P' \in W'$ over $P \in U$ is A_P, the fibre $\mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta}}$ at the geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}$ of the family $\mathscr{A} \to W'$ is $A_{\bar{\eta}}$, and the corresponding scheme-theoretic isomorphism $\varkappa_{P'*} : A_P \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{\bar{\eta}}$ coincides with the above constructed isomorphism κ_P, for each closed point P in U. This gives, in particular, that κ_P is a regular morphism of schemes, for each P in U.

Notice that the satisfaction of Assumption (A) in a family can be always achieved provided Assumption (A) holds for the geometric generic fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$. Indeed, as $\psi^p_Y : A^p(Y) \to A^p_Y$ is an isomorphism, for $Y = \mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$, the group $A^p(Y)$ is weakly representable. As we mentioned above, in such a situation we can choose a smooth projective curve Γ over $\bar{\eta}$ and a cycle Z on $\Gamma \times Y$ inducing a surjective homomorphism from $A^1(\Gamma)$ onto $A^p(Y)$ over $\bar{\eta}$. Then ψ^p_Y can be fully described geometrically in terms of the Jacobian J_Γ of the curve curve Γ and the cycle Z. Spreading out Γ, J_Γ and Z over an open subscheme W' in a suitable finite extension S' of S, and then specializing the relative construction to a closed point P of the c-open set U, we get weak representability of $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_P)$ provided by the specializations of the spread of the cycle Z to P. That would give an abelian scheme $\mathscr{A}'$ over W' with the desired property.

Thus, in order to have Assumption (A) in a family, all we need is to assume that it holds for the geometric generic fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$. It is essential that we only take care about the closed points of the c-open set U, for which we have the scheme-theoretical isomorphisms $\varkappa_P$. If we choose a closed point P beyond U, then weak representability cannot be guaranteed.

Similarly, if we assume that Assumption (B) is satisfied for the geometric generic fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$, then it will be also satisfied for each closed point P in U. This can be proven by means of the argument used in the proof of Lemma 12.

Next, the commutative diagram (6) gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^p(\mathscr{Y}_P) & \xrightarrow{r_{P*}} & A^{p+e}(\mathscr{X}_P) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varkappa_{P*}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varkappa_{P*}} \\ A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar\eta}) & \xrightarrow{r_{\bar\eta *}} & A^{p+e}(\mathscr{X}_{\bar\eta}) \end{array} \tag{7}$$

where $e = \dim(\mathscr{X}_{\bar\eta}) - \dim(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar\eta})$. To shorten notation further, let $A_{P,0}$ be the abelian subvariety in A_P and $A_{\bar\eta,0}$ the abelian subvariety in $A_{\bar\eta}$, such that, by Proposition 6, the image $K_P = K^p_{r_P}$ of the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism $r_{P*} : A^p(\mathscr{Y}_P) \to A^{p+e}(\mathscr{X}_P)$ under the isomorphism $\psi_P : A^p(\mathscr{Y}_P) \xrightarrow{\sim} A_P$ is the union of a countable collection of shifts of $A_{P,0}$ inside A_P. Similarly, the image $K_{\bar\eta} = K^p_{r_{\bar\eta}}$ of the kernel of the homomorphism $r_{\bar\eta *}$ under the isomorphism $\psi_{\bar\eta}$ is the union of a countable collection of shifts of the abelian subvariety $A_{\bar\eta,0}$ in $A_{\bar\eta}$.

Proposition 13. *Suppose that Assumption (A) is satisfied for the fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar\eta}$. Then, for any closed point P in U, $\kappa_P(A_{P,0}) = A_{\bar\eta,0}$.*

Proof. Let Ξ_P be the countable subset in A_P and $\Xi_{\bar\eta}$ the countable subset in $A_{\bar\eta}$, such that $K_P = \cup_{x\in\Xi_P}(s + A_{P,0})$ and $K_{\bar\eta} = \cup_{x\in\Xi_{\bar\eta}}(x + A_{\bar\eta,0})$ in A_P and $A_{\bar\eta}$ respectively (see Proposition 6). Then $\kappa_P(K_P) = \kappa_P(\cup_{x\in\Xi_P}(x + A_{P,0})) = \cup_{x\in\Xi_P}(\kappa_P(x) + \kappa_P(A_{P,0}))$. The definition of κ_P and the commutative diagram (7) give that $\kappa_P(K_P) = K_{\bar\eta}$. Therefore, the union $\cup_{x\in\Xi_P}(\kappa_P(x) + \kappa_P(A_{P,0}))$ coincides with the union $\cup_{x\in\Xi_{\bar\eta}}(x + A_{\bar\eta,0})$ inside the abelian variety $A_{\bar\eta}$. Since Assumption (A) is satisfied for the fibre at $\bar\eta$, it is satisfied in a family. Therefore, the homomorphisms κ_P are regular morphisms of schemes, whence $\kappa_P(A_{P,0})$ is a Zariski closed subset in $A_{\bar\eta}$. Since $\kappa_P(A_{P,0})$ is a subgroup in $A_{\bar\eta}$, it is an abelian subvariety in $A_{\bar\eta}$. Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 finish the proof. □

Remark 14. Assume that $p = 1$ or 2, and $e = 1$. Let $A_{\bar\eta,1}$ be the abelian subvariety in $A_{\bar\eta}$ constructed as in Section 4. For each closed point P in U one can define $A_{P,1}$ to be the pre-image of $A_{\bar\eta,1}$ under the isomorphism κ_P. Proposition 13 gives that $A_{P,0}$ is an abelian subvariety in $A_{P,1}$. If $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{X}_{\bar\eta}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$ then $A_{\bar\eta,1} = A_{\bar\eta}$ and $A_{P,1} = A_P$ for each closed point P in U.

6. Cycles on hyperplane sections via étale monodromy

Let k be an uncountable algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $d = 2p$ and let $\mathscr{X}$ be a nonsingular d-dimensional projective variety over the ground field k. Fix a closed embedding $\mathscr{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^m$, such that $\mathscr{X}$ is not contained in a smaller linear subspace in $\mathbb{P}^m$. For any closed point t in the dual projective space $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$, let H_t be the corresponding hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^m$ and let $\mathscr{X}_t$ be the intersection of $\mathscr{X}$ with H_t. Let

$$\mathscr{H} = \{(P, H) \in \mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^{m\vee} \mid P \in H\}$$

be the universal hyperplane, and let p_1 and p_2 be the projections of $\mathscr{H}$ on $\mathbb{P}^m$ and $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ respectively. Let $\mathscr{Y} \to \mathscr{X}$ be the pull-back of p_1 with respect to the

embedding $\mathscr{X} \subset \mathbb{P}^m$, and let

$$f : \mathscr{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$$

be the composition of the closed embedding of $\mathscr{Y}$ into $\mathscr{H}$ with the projection p_2. For any morphism of schemes $D \to \mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ let $\mathscr{H}_D \to D$ be the pull-back of p_2 with respect to the morphism $D \to \mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$, let $\mathscr{Y}_D$ be the fibred product of $\mathscr{Y}$ and $\mathscr{H}_D$ over the universal hyperplane $\mathscr{H}$, and let

$$f_D : \mathscr{Y}_D \to D$$

be the induced projection, i.e. the composition of the closed embedding of $\mathscr{Y}_D$ into $\mathscr{H}_D$ and the morphism $\mathscr{H}_D \to D$. Let also $\mathscr{X}_D \to D$ be the pull-back of trivial family $\mathscr{X}_{\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}} = \mathscr{X} \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathbb{P}^{m\vee} \to \mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ with respect to the morphism $D \to \mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$.

Now, choose D to be a projective line in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$, such that the morphism f_D is a Lefschetz pencil of the variety $\mathscr{X}$. Let $k(D)$ be the function field of D, $\eta = \mathrm{Spec}(k(D))$ be the generic point of D, $\overline{k(D)}$ be the algebraic closure of $k(D)$ and $\bar{\eta} = \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{k(D)})$ be the geometric generic point of D. Let Y be the geometric generic fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$ of the morphism $f_D : \mathscr{Y}_D \to D$, and let X be the geometric generic fibre of the morphism $\mathscr{X}_D \to D$. The universal morphism $\mathscr{Y}_D \to \mathscr{X}_D$ is over D, so that it induces the morphism

$$r : Y = \mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}} \to X = \mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$$

over the geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}$. The morphism r is a closed imbedding of the scheme Y into the scheme X.

Assume that the variety $Y = \mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$ satisfies Assumptions (A) and (B), being considered over $\overline{k(D)}$. That is, the universal homomorphism $\psi^p_Y = \psi^p_{\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}}$ exists and is an isomorphism of abelian groups, and the quotient-group of algebraic cycles of codimension p on Y modulo algebraically trivial algebraic cycles is $\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $r_* : A^p(Y) \to A^{p+1}(X)$ be the push-forward homomorphism induced by the proper morphism r, and let K^p_r be the image of the kernel of r_* under the isomorphism ψ^p_Y.

Let also $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ be the abelian variety $A^p_Y = A^p_{\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}}$ and let $A_{\bar{\eta},0}$ and $A_{\bar{\eta},1}$ be the abelian subvarieties $A^p_{Y,r}$ and, respectively, $B^p_{Y,r} = B^p_{\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}},r}$ in $A_{\bar{\eta}}$, as in the previous section. The image of the composition of the homomorphism $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},0}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p))$ to $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p))$ with the homomorphism w_* from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p))$ to $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is contained in the kernel of the Gysin homomorphism r_* from $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(Y, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ due to Proposition 7. This is why $A_{\bar{\eta},0} \subset A_{\bar{\eta},1} \subset A_{\bar{\eta}}$.

Let now L be the minimal subextension of $k(D)$ in $\overline{k(D)}$, such that the abelian varieties $A_{\bar{\eta},0}$, $A_{\bar{\eta},1}$ and $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ are defined over L. Then L is finitely generated and algebraic of finite degree n over $k(D)$. Let D' be an algebraic curve, such that $L = k(D')$ and the embedding of k into $k(D)$ is induced by a generically of degree n morphism from D' onto D. Since the closed embedding of $A_{\bar{\eta},0}$ into $A_{\bar{\eta},1}$ and $A_{\bar{\eta},1}$ into $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ are now defined over L, there exist a Zariski open subset U' in D', spreads $\mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta},0}$, $\mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta},1}$ and $\mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta}}$ of $A_{\bar{\eta},0}$, $A_{\bar{\eta},1}$ and $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ respectively over U', and morphisms $\mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta},0} \to \mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta},1}$ and $\mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta},1} \to \mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta}}$ over U', such that, when passing to the fibres at the geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}$, we obtain the closed embeddings of $A_{\bar{\eta},0}$ into $A_{\bar{\eta},1}$ and $A_{\bar{\eta},1}$ into $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ over $\overline{k(D)}$.

Let α be the morphism from $\mathscr{A}$ onto U', and let α_0 and α_1 be the morphism from $\mathscr{A}_0$ and, respectively, $\mathscr{A}_1$ onto U'. Since $\mathscr{A}$ is a spread of $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ over U' and $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ is a projective variety over $L = k(D')$, the morphism α is locally projective and, therefore, proper. Similarly, the morphisms α_0 and α_1 are proper. Cutting more points from D' we may assume that the morphisms α, α_0 and α_1 are all smooth over U'.

Let $\eta' = \mathrm{Spec}(k(D'))$ be the generic point of D', let $\bar{\eta}' = \bar{\eta}$ be the geometric generic point of D', let $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$ be the étale fundamental group of D' pointed at $\bar{\eta}$, and let $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(U', \bar{\eta})$ be the corresponding tame fundamental group. For any scheme S and non-negative integer n let $(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_S$ be the constant sheaf on S associated to the group $\mathbb{Z}/l^n$.

Since the morphisms α_0, α_1 and α are smooth and proper, the higher direct images $R^1\alpha_{0*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathscr{A}_0}$, $R^1\alpha_{1*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathscr{A}_1}$ and $R^1\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathscr{A}}$ are locally constant by Theorem 8.9, Ch. I in [5]. Then the fibres $(R^1\alpha_{0*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathscr{A}_0})_{\bar{\eta}}$, $(R^1\alpha_{1*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathscr{A}_1})_{\bar{\eta}}$ and $(R^1\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathscr{A}})_{\bar{\eta}}$ of these sheaves at the geometric generic point $\bar{\eta}$ are finite continuous $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$-modules, see Proposition A I.7 in loc. cit. The proper base change (see, for example, Theorem 6.1′ on page 62 in loc. cit.) gives that $(R^1\alpha_{0*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathscr{A}_0})_{\bar{\eta}}$ is the cohomology group $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{A}_{0\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$, $(R^1\alpha_{1*}(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathscr{A}_1})_{\bar{\eta}}$ is the group $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{A}_{1\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ and $(R^1\alpha_*(\mathbb{Z}/l^n)_{\mathscr{A}})_{\bar{\eta}}$ is the group $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Then we obtain that $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$ acts continuously on $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{A}_{0\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$, $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{A}_{1\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$ and $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Z}/l^n)$. Passing to limits on n and then tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}_l$ we then obtain that $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$ acts continuously on $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{A}_{0\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},0}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{A}_{1\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},1}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ and $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{A}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$.

The homomorphism $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},0}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is the composition of the obvious homomorphisms $\zeta'_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},0}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},1}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ and $\zeta''_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},1}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. The action of $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$ naturally commutes with both $\zeta'_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ and $\zeta''_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that U' is the pre-image of a Zariski open subset U in D and all the fibres of the Lefschetz pencil $f_D : \mathscr{Y}_D \to D$ over the closed points of U are smooth. Let $f_{D'} : \mathscr{Y}_{D'} \to D'$ be the pull-back of the Lefschetz pencil f_D with respect to the morphism $D' \to D$, and let $f_{U'} : \mathscr{Y}_{U'} \to U'$ be the pull-back of $f_{D'}$ with respect to the open embedding of U' to D'. Applying the same arguments to the morphism $f_{U'}$, we obtain the continuous action of the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$ on the group $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, and it is well known that this action is tame, in the sense that it factorizes through the surjective homomorphism from $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$ onto $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(U', \bar{\eta})$.

For each closed point s in the complement $D \smallsetminus U$ let δ_s be the unique up-to conjugation vanishing cycle in $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, corresponding to the point s in the standard sense (see Theorem 7.1 on page 247 in [5]), and let E be the $\mathbb{Q}_l$-vector subspace in $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ generated by all the elements δ_s, $s \in D \smallsetminus U$. In other words, E is the space of vanishing cycles in $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$. One can show that E coincides with the kernel of the Gysin homomorphism r_* from $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, see Section 4.3 in [4].

In what follows we will be using the étale l-adic Picard-Lefschetz formula for the monodromy action. For each $s \in D \smallsetminus U$ let $\pi_{1,s}$ be the so-called tame fundamental group at s, which is a subgroup in $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(U, \bar{\eta})$ uniquely determined by the point s up to conjugation in $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(U, \bar{\eta})$. In terms of [5], $\pi_{1,s}$ is the image

of the homomorphism $\gamma_s : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1) \to \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U, \bar{\eta})$, where $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$ is the limit of all groups μ_n, and μ_n is the group of n-th roots of unity in the algebraically closed field $\overline{k(U)}$ whose exponential characteristic is 1. The tame fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U, \bar{\eta})$ is generated by the subgroups $\pi_{1,s}$. If u is an element in $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}(1)$, let $\bar{u}$ be the image of u in $\mathbb{Z}_l(1)$. If now v is an element in the $\mathbb{Q}_l$-vector space $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ the Picard-Lefschetz formula says

$$\gamma_s(u)x = x \pm \bar{u}\langle x, \delta_s\rangle \delta_s \ . \tag{8}$$

Since present we will be assuming that either $p = 1$ or 2.

Proposition 15. *Under the above assumptions, either $A_{\bar{\eta},0} = 0$ or $A_{\bar{\eta},0} = A_{\bar{\eta},1}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7 and the fact that the space E of vanishing cycles coincides with the kernel of the Gysin homomorphism r_* from $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ to $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{X}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$, we see that the image of the composition

$$H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},0}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p)) \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l}} H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p)) \xrightarrow{w_*} H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$$

is contained in E. The homomorphism $\zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ is injective and compatible with the action of $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$. Since $p \leq 2$, the homomorphism w_* is bijective, see Remark 8. Then

$$E \simeq H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},1}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p))$$

via $\zeta''_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ and w_*.

Since the variety $Y = \mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$ satisfies Assumption (A), there exists a nonsingular projective curve Γ and an algebraic cycle Z on $\Gamma \times Y$ over $\bar{\eta}$, such that the cycle class z of Z induces the surjective homomorphism z_* from $A^1(\Gamma)$ to $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}})$, whose kernel is G. The homomorphism w_* from $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p))$ to $H^{2p-1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ is then induced by the composition of the embedding of the curve Γ into its Jacobian J_Γ over $\bar{\eta}$, the quotient map from J_Γ onto the abelian variety $A = J_\Gamma$, also over $\bar{\eta}$, and the homomorphism induced by the correspondence Z (see Section 3). Spreading out the morphisms $\Gamma \to J_\Gamma$ and $J_\Gamma \to A$, as well as the cycle X, over a certain Zariski open subset in D', we can achieve that the homomorphism w_* is compatible with the action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$.

This gives that the composition $w_* \circ \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ is an injection of the $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$-module $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},0}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p))$ into the $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$-module of vanishing cycles E. Let E_0 be the image of this injection. Since U' is finite of degree n over U, the group $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$ is a subgroup of finite index n in the étale fundamental group $\pi_1(U, \bar{\eta})$, and the latter group acts continuously on E by the standard étale monodromy theory. Let us use the Picard-Lefschetz formula in order to show that E_0 is a $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U, \bar{\eta})$-equivariant subspace in E. Obviously, it is enough to show that for each element $\gamma_s(u)$ in $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(U, \bar{\eta})$ and any element x in E_0 the element $\gamma_s(u)x$ is again in the space E_0.

Indeed, since $\langle \delta_s, \delta_s\rangle = 0$, the Picard-Lefschetz formula (8) and easy induction give that $(\gamma_s(u))^m x = x \pm m\bar{u}\langle x, \delta_s\rangle \delta_s$ for a natural number m, whence

$$\bar{u}\langle x, \delta_s\rangle \delta_s = \frac{1}{m}\left((\gamma_s(u))^m x \pm x\right) \ .$$

When m is the index of $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$ in $\pi_1(U, \bar{\eta})$, then $(\gamma_s(u))^m$ sits in the subgroup $\pi_1(U', \bar{\eta})$, so that the right hand side of the latter formula is an element of E_0. Applying the Picard-Lefschetz formula again, we see that $\gamma_s(u)x$ is in E_0.

Thus, E_0 is a submodule in the $\pi_1^{\mathrm{tame}}(U, \bar{\eta})$-module E. Since E is known to be an absolutely irreducible (see, for example, Corollary 7.4 on page 249 in [5]), we see that either $E_0 = 0$ or $E_0 = E$. In the first case $H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},0}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$, whence $A_{\bar{\eta},0} = 0$. In the second case $\zeta'_{\mathbb{Q}_l} : H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},0}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p)) \to H^1_{\acute{e}t}(A_{\bar{\eta},1}, \mathbb{Q}_l(1-p))$ is an isomorphism, whence $A_{\bar{\eta},0} = A_{\bar{\eta},1}$. □

Let now $\mathscr{D}$ be the discriminant variety of $\mathscr{X}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$, and let T be the complement to $\mathscr{D}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$. Let $\mathscr{H}_T \to T$ be the pull-back of the projection $p_2 : \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ with respect to the embedding of T into $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$. Recall that $\mathscr{Y} \to \mathscr{X}$ is the pull-back of the projection $p_1 : \mathscr{H} \to \mathbb{P}^m$ with respect to the embedding of $\mathscr{X}$ into $\mathbb{P}^m$ and $f : \mathscr{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ is the composition of the closed embedding of $\mathscr{Y}$ into $\mathscr{H}$ with the projection p_2. For any closed point $t \in \mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ let $\mathscr{Y}_t$ be the fibre of the morphism f, i.e. the intersection of the corresponding hyperplane H_t with $\mathscr{X}$. Let $\mathscr{Y}_T$ be the fibred product of $\mathscr{Y}$ and $\mathscr{H}_T$ over the universal hyperplane $\mathscr{H}$, and let $f_T : \mathscr{Y}_T \to T$ be the induced projection.

Let $S \subset T$ be a Zariski open affine subset in T and let U be the c-open subset in S constructed exactly as in Section 5. In other words, we define U by removing the images of the pull-backs of all closed embeddings into the model S_0 of S defined over the minimal field of definition of S. Then U is a c-open subset in T, and in the dual projective space $(\mathbb{P}^m)^\vee$, such that, if ξ is the generic point of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ and $\bar{\xi}$ the corresponding geometric generic point, for any closed point $P \in U$ one has the isomorphism $\varkappa_P$ between $\mathscr{Y}_P$ and $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$, and for any two closed points P and P' in U one has the scheme-theoretic isomorphism $\varkappa_{PP'}$ between $\mathscr{Y}_P$ and $\mathscr{Y}_{P'}$, constructed in Section 5.

In what follows we will be also assuming that Assumptions (A) and (B) are satisfied for the fibres $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$ and $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$. In particular, this guarantees that Assumptions (A) is also satisfied in a family, in the sense of the spreads of $A_{\bar{\eta}}$ and $A_{\bar{\xi}}$ over Zariski open subsets in some finite extensions of D or T. Proposition 13 would then guarantee that $\kappa_t(A_{t,0}) = A_{\bar{\xi},0}$ for each close point t in U, and the same for Lefschetz pencils.

Theorem 16. *In the above terms and under the above assumptions, either $A_{\bar{\xi},0} = 0$, in which case $A_{P,0} = 0$ for each closed point P in U, or $A_{\bar{\xi},0} = A_{\bar{\xi},1}$, so that $A_{P,0} = A_{P,1}$ for any close point P in U.*

Proof. Indeed, let A be a Zariski closed subset in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$, such that for each point t in the complement to A in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ the corresponding hyperplane H_t does not contain $\mathscr{X}$ and the scheme-theoretic intersection of $\mathscr{X}$ and H_t is either smooth or contains at most one singular point, which is double point. Let G be the Grassmannian of lines in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$. There is a Zariski open subset W in G, such that for each line D in W the line D does not intersect A and the corresponding codimension 2 linear subspace in $\mathbb{P}^m$ intersects $\mathscr{X}$ transversally. In other words, any line D from W gives rise to a Lefschetz pencil on $\mathscr{X}$. Let Z be the complement to the above c-open subset U in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$. Then Z is the union of a countable collection of Zariski closed irreducible subsets in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$, each of which is irreducible. In particular, Z is c-closed. It follows that the condition for a line $D \in G$ to be not a subset in Z is c-open. By Lemma , the intersection of the corresponding

c-open subset in G with W is non-empty, so that we can choose a line D, such that D gives a Lefschetz pencil $f_D : \mathscr{Y}_D \to D$ and $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Let P_0 be a point in $D \cap U$ and let $\bar{\eta}$ be the geometric generic point of D. By Proposition 15, either $A_{\bar{\eta},0} = 0$ or $A_{\bar{\eta},0} = A_{\bar{\eta},1}$. Suppose $A_{\bar{\eta},0} = 0$. Proposition 13, being applied to the pencil f_D, gives that $A_{P_0,0} = 0$. Applying the same proposition to the family $f : \mathscr{Y} \to \mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ we obtain that $A_{\bar{\xi},0} = 0$ and so for each closed point P in U the abelian variety $A_{P,0}$ is zero. Similarly, if $A_{\bar{\eta},0} = A_{\bar{\eta},1}$ then, by Proposition 13 and Remark 14 applied to f_D we obtain that $A_{P_0,0} = A_{P_0,1}$. Applying Proposition 13 and Remark 14 to the family f we see that $A_{\bar{\xi},0} = A_{\bar{\xi},1}$ and $A_{P,0} = A_{P,1}$ for each closed point P in U. □

7. Applications to nonsingular cubic fourfolds in $\mathbb{P}^5$

We keep the notation and assumptions of the previous section. Also we shall keep assuming that p is either 1 or 2, i.e. $\mathscr{X}$ is either a surface or a fourfold in $\mathbb{P}^m$, so that Proposition 15 works. Recall that T is a Zariski open subset in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$, such that $\mathscr{Y}_t$ is smooth for each closed point $t \in T$. Suppose that Assumptions (A) and (B) hold for the geometric generic fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$. Removing a finite number of Zariski closed subsets from T if necessary, we may assume that the assumptions hold for each closed point t in T. Let $T^\natural$ be the set of closed points in T such that $t \in T^\natural$ if and only if $A_{t,0}$ coincides with $A_{t,1}$. For simplicity, in this section we assume that $H^{2p+1}_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{X}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$. This gives that $A_{t,1} = A_t$ for each closed point t in T, so that the condition $A_{t,0} = A_{t,1}$ is equivalent to the condition $r_{t*} = 0$, where r_{t*} is, as usual, the proper push-forward from $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ to $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{X})$. Since the group $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}})$ is weakly representable, we can choose a smooth projective curve C over $\bar{\xi}$ and an appropriate algebraic cycle Z on $C \times \mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$, such that the induced homomorphism Z_* from $A^1(C)$ to $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}})$ is surjective. Then the homomorphism θ^p_d from $C^p_{d,d}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}})$ to $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}})$ is surjective, where d is the genus of the curve C (see the proof of Proposition 6). Shrinking T further we may assume that the homomorphism θ^p_d from $C^p_{d,d}(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ to $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ is surjective for each close point t in the Zariski open subset T of the dual space $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$.

Lemma 17. *Under the above assumptions, the set $T^\natural$ is constructible.*

Proof. Let

$$\mathscr{V} = \{(Z, t) \in \mathscr{C}^{p+1}_d(\mathscr{X}) \times \mathbb{P}^{m\vee} \mid Z \subset H_t\}$$

be the incidence subvariety, where $Z \subset H_t$ means that the codimension $p+1$ algebraic cycle Z of degree d on $\mathscr{X}$ is supported on the hyperplane section $\mathscr{Y}_t = \mathscr{X} \cap H_t$ for t in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$. Let $v_T : \mathscr{V}_T \to T$ be the corresponding pull-back of the projection to $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ with respect to the inclusion of T into $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$, and let s_T be the obvious morphism from $\mathscr{V}_T$ to $C^{p+1}_{d,d}(\mathscr{X})$. Let $\mathscr{V}^2_T$ be the 2-fold fibred product of $\mathscr{V}_T$ over T, and the consider the corresponding morphisms v^2_T from $\mathscr{V}^2_T$ to T and s^2_T from $\mathscr{V}^2_T$ to $C^{p+1}_{d,d}(\mathscr{X})$. By Corollary 2 we have that $(\theta^{p+1}_d)^{-1}(0)$ is the union of a countable collection of irreducible Zariski closed subsets in $C^{p+1}_{d,d}(\mathscr{X})$, say $(\theta^{p+1}_d)^{-1}(0) = \cup_{i\in I} Z_i$. Let $W_i = (s^2_T)^{-1}(Z_i)$ for each $i \in I$. For any closed point t in T the pre-image $(v^2_T)^{-1}(t)$ is the 2-fold product $\mathscr{V}^2_t$ of the fibre $\mathscr{V}_t$ of the morphism v_T at t over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$. Since the homomorphism θ^p_d from $C^p_{d,d}(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ to $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ is surjective, we obtain that the condition $r_{t*} = 0$ is equivalent to the

condition that the fibre $\mathscr{V}_t^2$ of the morphism v_T^2 at t is a subset of the pre-image $\cup_{i\in I}W_i$ of 0 under the composition $\theta_d^{p+1}\circ s_T^2$. By Lemma 6, this is equivalent to say that $\mathscr{V}_t^2$ is a subset in $W_{i_1}\cup\cdots\cup W_{i_n}$ for a finite collection of indices $i_1,\dots,i_n$ in I. It means that $T^\natural$ is constructible. □

Lemma 18. *Let V be an irreducible quasi-projective variety over k, and let U be a nonempty c-open subset in V. Then the Zariski closure of U in V is V.*

Proof. Indeed, since U is c-open, there exists a countable union $Z=\cup_{i\in I}Z_i$ of Zariski closed irreducible subsets in V, such that $U=V\smallsetminus Z$. Then $\bar{U}$ is nothing but the complement to the interior $\mathrm{Int}(Z)$ of the set Z in V. Assume that that $\mathrm{Int}(Z)$ is nonempty. Then there exists a nonempty subset W in $\mathrm{Int}(Z)$, which is Zariski open in V. By Lemma 6, there exists an index $i_0\in I$, such that W is contained in Z_{i_0}. This gives that $\mathrm{Int}(Z_{i_0})$ of the set Z_{i_0} is nonempty. This is not possible as Z_{i_0} is a closed proper subset in a Zariski topological space. □

Recall that we assume that Assumption (A) is satisfied in a family for the fibres of the morphism $f_T:\mathscr{Y}_T\to T$, in the sense of Section 5.

Theorem 19. *Assume, in addition, that, if $\mathscr{Y}_t$ has one ordinary double point, the group $A^p(\tilde{\mathscr{Y}}_t)$ is weakly representable, where $\tilde{\mathscr{Y}}_t$ is a resolution of $\mathscr{Y}_t$, the group $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ is weakly representable for each nonsingular $\mathscr{Y}_t$ and $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{X})$ is not weakly representable. Then the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism from $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ to $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{X})$ is countable for each t of the c-open subset U in $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 16, we have that either $A_{\bar{\xi},0}=0$ or $A_{\bar{\xi},0}=A_{\bar{\xi}}$. Suppose the latest. By the same Theorem 16, $A_{t,0}=A_t$ for each closed point t in the c-open subset U in T. On the other hand, U is a subset in $T^\natural$, and the set $T^\natural$ is constructible by Lemma 17. Represent U as the complement to the countable union $\cup_{i\in I}D_i$ of irreducible Zariski closed subsets D_i in T. Represent $T^\natural$ as the countable union $\cup_{j\in J}T_j^\natural$, where $T_j^\natural$ is Zariski open in an irreducible Zariski closed subset Z_j in T. Let Z be the union $\cup_{j\in J}Z_j$ and let W be the complement to Z in T. Then W is c-open in T and $W\cap U=\emptyset$. The intersection of W and U is the complement to the union of all D_i and Z_j, $i\in I$, $j\in J$, in T. As $U\neq\emptyset$, it follows that $D_i\neq T$ for each index i. Since $W\cap U=\emptyset$, by Lemma 6, there must exist an index $j_0\in J$, such that $Z_{j_0}=T$. This gives that $A_{t,0}=A_t$, i.e. $r_{t*}=0$, for each closed point t in the nonempty Zariski open subset $T_j^\natural$ in T.

By Lemma 18, the intersection of $T^\natural$ with U is nonempty. Let $f_D:\mathscr{Y}_D\to D$ be a Lefschetz pencil for $\mathscr{X}$, such that the set-theoretic intersection of the line $D=\mathbb{P}^1$ with the set $T^\natural\cap U$ is nonempty. Since the group $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ is weakly representable for each $t\in T$ and D passes through U, it follows that the group $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}})$ is weakly representable too. Let $\Gamma_{\bar{\eta}}$ be a nonsingular projective curve and $Z_{\bar{\eta}}$ be an algebraic cycle of codimension 1 on $\Gamma_{\bar{\eta}}\times\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}}$ over $\bar{\eta}$ implementing the weak representability of $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\eta}})$. Let D' be a finite extension of the curve D, such that both $\Gamma_{\bar{\eta}}$ and $Z_{\bar{\eta}}$ are defined over the function field $k(D')$. Spreading out the curve $\Gamma_{\bar{\eta}}$ and the cycle $Z_{\bar{\eta}}$ into a relative curve $\mathscr{G}\to V'$ and a relative cycle $\mathscr{Z}$ on $\mathscr{G}\times_{V'}\mathscr{Y}_{V'}$ over the preimage V' of a certain Zariski open subset V in D under the map $D'\to D$, we obtain a homomorphism $\mathscr{Z}_*:A^1(\mathscr{G})\to A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{V'})$. Compactifying and resolving singularities, we obtain a surface $\mathscr{G}'$, a codimension 1 algebraic cycle $\mathscr{Z}'$ on the variety $\mathscr{G}'\times_{D'}\mathscr{Y}_{D'}$ and the homomorphism $\mathscr{Z}'_*$

from $A^1(\mathscr{G}')$ to $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{D'})$. Then $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{D'})$ is generated by the image B_1 of the homomorphism $\mathscr{Z}'_*$ and the kernel B_2 of the pull-back from $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{D'})$ to $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{\eta'})$.

Since the group $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{\eta'})$ is the colimit of the groups $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{W'})$, where W' runs through Zariski open subsets in D', the localization sequences for open embeddings $\mathscr{Y}_{W'} \subset \mathscr{Y}_{D'}$ show that kernel B_2 is generated by the image of the homomorphism from $\oplus_{t' \in D'} A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{t'})$ to $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{D'})$, induced by the proper push-forwards $r_{t'*}$. Since the group $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{X})$ is not weakly representable, so is the group $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{D'})$. As B_1 is the image of the homomorphism $\mathscr{Z}'_*$, it is weakly representable. Therefore, B_2 is not weakly representable.

On the other hand, if $D^\natural$ is the intersection of $T^\natural$ and D, and $D'^\natural$ is the pre-image of $D^\natural$ under the finite map from D' onto D, then $r_{t'*} = 0$ for each closed point t' in $D'^\natural$. It follows that B_2 is generated by the image of the homomorphism from $\oplus_{t' \in D'^\sharp} A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{t'})$ to $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{D'})$ induced by the proper pushforwards $r_{t'*}$, where $D'^\sharp$ is the complement to $D'^\natural$ in D'.

If $\mathscr{Y}_{t'}$ is nonsingular, then $\mathscr{Y}_{t'} = \mathscr{Y}_t$, where t is the image of t' under the finite map from D' onto D, and $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{t'})$ is isomorphic to the abelian variety $A_t = A^p_{\mathscr{Y}_t}$ via the universal regular morphism ψ^p_t. In particular, $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_{t'})$ is weakly representable. If the section $\mathscr{Y}_{t'} = \mathscr{Y}_t$ is singular, resolving the double point on it we obtain a nonsingualr variety $\tilde{\mathscr{Y}}_t$ whose group $A^p(\tilde{\mathscr{Y}}_t)$ is weakly representable by the assumptions of the theorem.

Thus, B_2 is covered by the finite direct product of weakly representable groups $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ and $A^p(\tilde{\mathscr{Y}}_t)$. It means that B_1 itself is weakly representable. Since $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{D'})$ is generated by weakly representable groups B_1 and B_2, the whole group $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{Y}_{D'})$ is weakly representable. This contradicts to the third assumption of the theorem.

Hence, $A_{\bar{\xi},0} = 0$, and Theorem 16 finishes the proof. □

Example 20. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be a $K3$-surface embedded into $\mathbb{P}^m$. Since hyperplane sections of a surface are curves, Assumptions (A) and (B) are satisfied. Passing to finite extension T' of T we get a section of the morphism $\mathscr{Y}_{T'} \to T'$, which gives that Assumption (A) is satisfied in a family, for $\mathscr{Y}_T$ over T. The third cohomology of a $K3$-surface vanishes and $A^2(\mathscr{X})$ is not representable by Mumford's result in [11]. By Theorem 19, for a very general hyperplane section $\mathscr{Y}_t$ of the surface $\mathscr{X}$ the kernel of the push-forward homomorphism r_{t*} from $A^p(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ to $A^{p+1}(\mathscr{X})$ is countable. It means that, for a fixed point P_t in $\mathscr{Y}_t$, there exists only a countable set of points on the fibre $\mathscr{Y}_t$, which are rationally equivalent to P_t on the surface $\mathscr{X}$. This is a particular case of Proposition 2.4 in [22].

Example 21. Finally, let us consider the case when $\mathscr{X}$ is a nonsingular cubic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Then $H^5_{\acute{e}t}(\mathscr{X}, \mathbb{Q}_l) = 0$ and A_1 is A. Any smooth hyperplane section $\mathscr{Y}_t$ is a cubic 3-fold in $H_t \simeq \mathbb{P}^4$, whose group $A^2(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ is well known to be representable by the corresponding Prym variety P_t with the corresponding regular isomorphism ψ^2_t between $A^2(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ and P_t, see [1]. Since the Prym construction is of purely algebraic-geometric nature, we can do it over $\bar{\xi}$ getting the Prym variety $P_{\bar{\xi}}$ and the corresponding regular isomorphism $\psi^2_{\bar{\xi}}$ between $A^2(\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}})$ and $P_{\bar{\xi}}$, for the geometric generic fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$. In other words, Assumption (A) is satisfied for $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$. Let us show that Assumption (A) is actually satisfied in a family. Indeed, we can choose a finitely generated field extension L of the field $k(T)$,

such that the fibre $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$ has a model $\mathscr{Y}_L$ over L, the model $\mathscr{Y}_L$ contains a line Λ, i.e. the closed embedding of Λ into $\mathscr{Y}_{\bar{\xi}}$ is over L, and the Prymian $P_{\bar{\xi}}$ has a model P_L over L. Then choose an appropriate finite extension T' of the scheme T, such that $k(T') = L$, and spread out P_L in to a family of Prymians $\mathscr{P} \to W'$ whose geometric generic fibre is $P_{\bar{\xi}}$ and closed fibres $\mathscr{P}_{t'}$ coincide with P_t if t' is a closed point of W' over a closed point t of U. The line Λ spread into a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over W'. This all gives the consistency of the isomorphisms ψ_t^2 and $\psi_{\bar{\xi}}^2$, in the sense that the group-theoretic isomorphisms κ_t between P_t and $P_{\bar{\xi}}$ would coincide with the corresponding scheme-theoretic isomorphism $\varkappa_t$ between P_t and $P_{\bar{\xi}}$.

Assumption (B) is satisfied for $\mathscr{Y}_t$ too. If a hyperplane section $\mathscr{Y}_t$ of the cubic fourfold $\mathscr{X}$ has one ordinary double point, then the singular cubic $\mathscr{Y}_t$ is rational, so that $\tilde{\mathscr{Y}}_t$ is rational. It follows that the group $A^2(\tilde{\mathscr{Y}}_t)$ is weakly representable. If $\mathscr{Y}_t$ is nonsingular, then it is unirational and so rationally connected. Hence, $A^3(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ is trivial. The group $A^3(\mathscr{X})$ is not weakly representable by Theorem 0.5 in [15]. Thus, the assumptions of Theorem 19 are also satisfied.

By Theorem 19, for each closed point t in the c-open subset U of $\mathbb{P}^{m\vee}$ there exists a countable set Ξ_t of closed points in the Prymian P_t of the hyperplane section $\mathscr{Y}_t$, such that the kernel of the homomorphism r_{t*} from P_t to $A^3(\mathscr{X})$ is countable. In particular, if Σ and Σ' are two linear combinations of lines of the same degree on $\mathscr{X}$, supported on $\mathscr{Y}_t$, then Σ is rationally equivalent to Σ' on $\mathscr{X}$ if and only if the point on P_t, represented by the class of $\Sigma - \Sigma'$, occurs in Ξ_t.

Notice also that the group $A^3(\mathscr{Y}_\eta)$ can be non-zero, but we know that it is torsion. Since $A^2(\mathscr{Y}_t)$ is divisible, any cycle class in $A^3(\mathscr{X})$ is represented, up to torsion, by line configurations supported on hyperplane sections.

References

[1] A. Beauville. Variétés de Prym et jacobiennes intermédiaires. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. 10 (1977) 309 - 391

[2] A. Beauville, R. Donagi. La variété des droites d'une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4. C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 301 (1985) 703 - 706

[3] S. Bloch. Torsion algebraic cycles and a theorem of Roitman. Compositio Math. Volume 39, no. 1 (1979) 107 - 127

[4] P. Deligne. La conjecture de Weil II. Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS 52 (1980) 137 - 252

[5] E. Freitag, R. Kiehl. Etale Cohomology and the Weil Conjecture. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3 Folge. Band 13. Springer-Verlag 1988

[6] S. Gorchinskiy, V. Guletskiĭ. Motives and representability of algebraic cycles on threefolds over a field. J. Algebraic Geom. 21 (2012) no. 2, 347 - 373

[7] M. Green, P. Griffiths, K. Paranjape. Cycles over fields of transcendence degree 1. Michigan Math. J. Volume 52, Issue 1 (2004) 181 - 187

[8] B. Hassett. Special cubic fourfolds. Compositio Mathematica. Volume 120, Issue 01 (2000) 1 - 23

[9] J. Kollár. Rational curves on algebraic varieties. Springer 1996

[10] A. Merkurjev and A. Suslin. K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. Volume 21, No. 2 (1983) 307 - 340

[11] D. Mumford. Rational equivalence of 0-cycles on surfaces. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 9 (1969) 195 - 204

[12] J. Murre. Un résultat en théorie des cycles algébriques de codimension deux. C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 296 (1983) 981 - 984

[13] K. Paranjape. Cohomological and cycle-theoretic connectivity. Ann. Math. 140 (1994) 641 660
[14] A. Roitman. Γ-equivalence of zero-dimensional cycles (Russian) Mat. Zbornik. Vol. 86, no. 4 (1971) 557 - 570
[15] C. Schoen. On Hodge structures and non-representability of Chow groups. Compositio Mathematica. Volume 88, Issue 3 (1993) 285 - 316
[16] M. Shen. On relations among 1-cycles on cubic hypersurfaces. J. Alg. Geom. 23 (2014) 539 - 569
[17] M. Shen, C. Vial. The Fourier transform for certain Hyperkähler fourfolds. arXiv:1309.5965
[18] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky. Relative cycles and Chow sheaves. In Cycles, Transfers and Motivic Cohomology Theories. Annals of Mathematics Studies 143. Princeton University Press 2000
[19] C. Vial. Algebraic cycles and fibrations. Documenta Math. 18 (2013) 1521 - 1553
[20] C. Voisin. Sur les zéro-cycles de certaine hypersurfaces munies d'un automorphisme. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Ck. Si. (4) 19 (1992) 473 - 492
[21] C. Voisin. Variations de structure de Hodge et zéro-cycles sur les surfaces générales. Math. Ann. 299 (1994) 77 - 103
[22] C. Voisin. Symplectic involutions of $K3$-surfaces act trivially on CH_0. Documenta Mathematica 17 (2012) 851 - 860

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Peach Street, Liverpool L69 7ZL, England, UK

E-mail address: kalyan@liverpool.ac.uk
E-mail address: vladimir.guletskii@liverpool.ac.uk